

SOME NEW SUMMATION FORMULAE FOR HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES OF TWO VARIABLES (*)

by B. L. SHARMA and R. F. A. ABIODUN (in Ile-Ife) (**)

SOMMARIO. - *In questa nota dimostriamo alcune nuove formule di sommazione per serie ipergeometriche in due variabili. Questi tipi di formule trovano applicazione nella risoluzione di certi problemi di Fisica teorica e in problemi di ottimizzazione in scienza.*

SUMMARY. - *In this paper we prove some new summation formulae for hypergeometric series of two variables. These type of formulae have applications in the solutions of certain problems in theoretical physics and optimization problems in Management Sciences.*

1. Introduction.

This paper is a continuation of the previous paper [1]. In our previous paper we have proved some transformation formulae for hypergeometric series of two variables. Recently Professor Carlitz [5] has proved Saalschutzián theorem for double series and later on, in another paper [6] he gave the sum of another double series.

Further, Carlitz [7] proved a transformation formula for multiple hypergeometric series. Professor Carlitz's papers have created interest in the transformation and summation formulae for double series, because these type of formulae have applications in the solutions of certain problems in theoretical physics and optimization problems in Management Sciences. Following Carlitz's papers, Jain [9], Sharma [11-16], Sharma and Abiodun [17], Abiodun and Sharma [1] have

(*) Pervenuto in Redazione il 28 luglio 1975.

(**) Indirizzo degli Autori: Department of Mathematics - University of Ife - Ile - Ife (Nigeria).

proved various formulae associated with hypergeometric series of two variables. The following notation due to Chaundy [4] will be used to represent the hypergeometric series of higher order and of two variables.

$$(1) \quad F \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p); (b_q); (c_r); x, y \\ (d_s); (e_h); (f_k); \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(a_p)]_{m+n} [(b_q)]_m [(c_r)]_n x^m y^n}{[(d_s)]_{m+n} [(e_h)]_m [(f_k)]_n m! n!}$$

where (a_p) and $[(a_p)]_{m+n}$ will mean a_1, \dots, a_p and $(a_1)_{m+n}, \dots, (a_p)_{m+n}$.

2. In this section we consider some new summation formulae for hypergeometric series of two variables. We consider.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\beta + \delta + \mu - \alpha - \varrho_1 - \varrho_2)}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha) \Gamma(\beta + \delta + \mu - \varrho_1 - \varrho_2)} &= F_1 [\alpha; \varrho_1 - \delta, \varrho_2 - \mu; \beta; 1, 1] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{m+n} (\varrho_1)_m (\varrho_2)_n (\varrho_1 - \delta)_m (\varrho_2 - \mu)_n}{(\beta)_{m+n} m! n! (\varrho_1)_m (\varrho_2)_n}, \end{aligned}$$

now we make use of the result due to Bailey [3]

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} a, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} a, b + n, -n; 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} b, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} b, 1 + a; \end{matrix} \right] &= \frac{(b-a)_n}{(b)_n} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{m+n} (\varrho_1)_m (\varrho_2)_n}{(\beta)_{m+n} m! n!} \sum_{r=0}^m \frac{(-m)_r (\varrho_1 + m)_r \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta\right)_r \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \delta\right)_r}{r! \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho_1\right)_r \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_1\right)_r (1 + \delta)_r} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{(-n)_s (\varrho_2 + n)_s \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu\right)_s \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mu\right)_s}{s! \left(\frac{1}{2} \varrho_2\right)_s \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \varrho_2\right)_s (1 + \mu)_s} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{r+s} \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta\right)_r \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \delta\right)_r \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu\right)_s \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mu\right)_s (-1)^{r+s} 2^{2r+2s}}{(\beta)_{r+s} (1 + \delta)_r (1 + \mu)_s r! s!} \\ &= F_1 [\alpha + r + s; \varrho_1 + 2r, \varrho_2 + 2s; \beta + r + s; 1, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{r+s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta\right)_r \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta\right)_r \left(\frac{1}{2}\mu\right)_s \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu\right)_s (-1)^{r+s} 2^{2r+2s}}{(\beta)_{r+s} (1+\delta)_r (1+\mu)_s r! s!} \\ \cdot F_1[\alpha + r + s; \rho_1 + 2r, \rho_2 + 2s; \beta + r + s; 1, 1] \\ = \frac{\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\beta + \delta + \mu - \alpha - \rho_1 - \rho_2)}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha) \Gamma(\beta + \delta + \mu - \rho_1 - \rho_2)}.$$

Now we make use of the formula due to Appell and Kampé de Fériet [2, p. 22. equ (24)]

$$(4) \quad F_1[\alpha; \beta, \gamma; \delta; 1, 1] = \frac{\Gamma(\delta) \Gamma(\delta - \alpha - \beta - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\delta - \alpha) \Gamma(\delta - \beta - \gamma)},$$

valid for $R(\delta - \alpha - \beta - \gamma) > 0$.

If we use formula (4) in (3), then the condition $R(\delta - \alpha - \beta - \gamma) > 0$ must be satisfied, but it is satisfied in the following cases.

(a) We take $\alpha = -n$ and $\rho_1 + \rho_2 = -n$ in (3), it gives a new summation formula for double series, on using (4)

$$(5) \quad F \left[\begin{array}{c} -n, \beta; \frac{1}{2}\delta, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta; \frac{1}{2}\mu, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mu; 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta - n), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta - n); 1 + \delta; 1 + \mu \end{array} \right] = \\ = \frac{(1 - \beta + \delta + \mu)_n}{(1 - \beta)_n}.$$

In case we put $\mu = 0$ or $\delta = 0$ in (5), it reduces to a known result [10, p. 65, (2.4. 2.2)].

(b) Next we take $\mu = -m$, $\delta = -n$ and $\rho_1 + \rho_2 = -m - n$ in (3) and using (4), it gives another new summation formula for double series

$$(6) \quad F \left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha, \beta; -\frac{1}{2}n, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}n; -\frac{1}{2}m, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}m; 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \alpha), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta + \alpha); 1 - n; 1 - m; \end{array} \right] = \frac{(\beta)_{m+n}}{(\beta + \alpha)_{m+n}}.$$

In case $m=0$ or $n=0$ in (6), it reduces to a known result [10, p. 65 (2.4 2.2)].

Now we prove the summation formula

$$(7) \quad F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, e+n, \frac{1}{2}(e-\beta-1); \frac{1}{4}(e-\beta); \frac{1}{4}(e-\beta); 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}e, \frac{1}{2}(1+e), e-\beta; -; -; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(1+\beta)_n}{(e)_n},$$

provided $\rho-\beta$ is not a negative integer.

PROOF. To prove (7), we start with the left side of (7).

$$\begin{aligned} & F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, e+n, \frac{1}{2}(e-\beta-1); \frac{1}{4}(e-\beta); \frac{1}{4}(e-\beta); 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}e, \frac{1}{2}(1+e), e-\beta; -; -; \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{(1+\beta)_n}{(e-\beta)_n} \sum_{r=0}^{r+s} \sum_{s=0}^n \frac{(-n)_{r+s} (e+n)_{r+s} \left(\frac{1}{2}e - \frac{1}{2}\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)_{r+s} \left(\frac{1}{4}e - \frac{1}{4}\beta\right)_r}{\left(\frac{1}{2}e\right)_{r+s} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e\right)_{r+s} \left(3/2 + \frac{1}{2}e + \beta\right)_{r+s}} \\ & \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}e - \frac{1}{4}\beta\right)_s}{r! s!} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -n+r+s, \beta + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}e, e+n+r+s; 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e + r + s, 1 + \beta; \end{matrix} \right], \end{aligned}$$

we have used Saalschutz's theorem [10, p. 243. equ. (III. 2)]

$$(8) \quad {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, n+a, b; 1 \\ c, 1+a+b-c; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(c-b)_n (1+a-c)_n}{(c)_n (1+a+b-c)_n} \\ = \frac{(1+\beta)_n}{(e-\beta)_n} \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{(-n)_p (e+n)_p \left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta\right)_p}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e\right)_p (1+\beta)_p p!} \\ \cdot F \left[\begin{matrix} -p, \frac{1}{2} \left(e - \beta - \frac{1}{2}\right); \frac{1}{4}(e-\beta); \frac{1}{4}(e-\beta); 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}e, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e - \beta - p; -; -; \end{matrix} \right],$$

now we use a result due to Sharma [14]

$$(9) \quad F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \alpha; \gamma_1; \gamma_2; 1, 1 \\ \mu, 1 + \alpha + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \mu - n; -; -; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(\mu - \alpha)_n (\mu - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2)_n}{(\mu)_n (\mu - \alpha - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2)_n},$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \beta)_n}{(e - \beta)_n} {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, e + n, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\beta, \frac{1}{2}\beta; 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}e, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e, 1 + \beta; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(1 + \beta)_n}{(e)_n} \quad \text{by (2).}$$

This completes the proof of (7). In case $\beta=0$ in (7), it reduces to the following form

$$(10) \quad F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, e + n, \frac{1}{2}(e - 1); \frac{1}{4}e; \frac{1}{4}e; 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}e, \frac{1}{2}(1 + e), e; -; -; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{n!}{(e)_n},$$

provided that ρ is not a negative integer. Next we prove the summation formula.

$$(11) \quad F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \frac{1}{2}(e - \beta), e + n; \frac{1}{4}(e - \beta - 1); \frac{1}{4}(e - \beta - 1); 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}e, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e, e - \beta; -; -; \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \beta)_n (e - \beta)}{(e - \beta)_n (e)_n}.$$

PROOF. To prove (11), we start with the left side of (11),

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, e + n, \frac{1}{2}(e - \beta); \frac{1}{4}(e - \beta - 1); \frac{1}{4}(e - \beta - 1); 1, 1 \\ e - \beta, \frac{1}{2}e, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e; -; -; \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}e\right)_n (1 + \beta)_n}{\left(\frac{1}{2}e\right)_n (e - \beta)_n}$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{r+s} \sum_{s=0}^{s} \frac{(-n)_{r+s} (\varrho + n)_{r+s} \left(\frac{1}{2}\varrho - \frac{1}{2}\beta\right)_{r+s} \left(\frac{1}{4}\varrho - \frac{1}{4}\beta - \frac{1}{4}\right)_r \left(\frac{1}{4}\varrho - \frac{1}{4}\beta - \frac{1}{4}\right)_s}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\varrho\right)_{r+s} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\varrho\right)_{r+s} \left(2 + \beta + \frac{1}{2}\varrho\right)_{r+s} r! s!}$$

$$\cdot {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -n + r + s, 1 + \beta - \frac{1}{2}\varrho, \varrho + n + r + s; 1 \\ 1 + \frac{1}{2}\varrho + r + s, 1 + \beta; \end{matrix} \right] \text{ by (8)}$$

$$= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\varrho\right)_n (1 + \beta)_n}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\varrho\right)_n (\varrho - \beta)_n} \sum_{p=0}^n \frac{(-n)_p (\varrho + n)_p \left(1 + \beta - \frac{1}{2}\varrho\right)_p}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\varrho\right)_p (1 + \beta)_p p!}$$

$$\cdot F \left[\begin{matrix} -p, \frac{1}{2}(\varrho - \beta); \frac{1}{4}(\varrho - \beta - 1); \frac{1}{4}(\varrho - \beta - 1); 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varrho), \frac{1}{2}\varrho - \beta - p; -; -; \end{matrix} \right] \text{ by (9)}$$

$$= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\varrho\right)_n (1 + \beta)_n}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\varrho\right)_n (\varrho - \beta)_n} {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} -n; \varrho + n, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\beta, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta; 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\varrho, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\varrho, 1 + \beta; \end{matrix} \right],$$

now we use a result due to Carlitz [8]

$$(12) \quad {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \frac{1}{2}(a + 1), \frac{1}{2}(a + 2), b + n; 1 \\ a + 1, \frac{1}{2}(b + 2), \frac{1}{2}(b + 1); \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{b(b - a)}{(b + 2n)(b)_n} = \frac{(1 + \beta)_n (\varrho - \beta)}{(\varrho - \beta)_n (\varrho)_n}.$$

This completes the proof of (11). In case $\beta=0$ in (11), it yields

$$(13) \quad F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \varrho + n; \frac{1}{4}(\varrho - 1); \frac{1}{4}(\varrho - 1); 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varrho), \varrho; -; -; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{n! \varrho}{(\varrho)_n (\varrho)_n}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] ABIODUN R. F. A. and SHARMA B. L., *Transformations for hypergeometric Series*. Rend. Ist. di Matem. Univ. Trieste. Vol. V. Fasc. II pp. 1-9 1973.
- [2] APPELL P. and KAMPE DE FERIET J., *Fonctions hypergeometriques et hyperspheriques polynomes D' Hermite*. Gauthier Willars. Paris. 1926.
- [3] BAILEY W. N., *Some identities involving generalised hypergeometric series*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 29 pp. 503-516 1929.
- [4] CHAUNDY T. W., *Expansions of hypergeometric functions*. Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 13 pp. 159-171, 1942.
- [5] CARLITZ L., *A Saalschutziian theorem for double Series*. Jour. Lond. Math. Soc. Vol. 38 pp. 415-418 1963.
- [6] CARLITZ L., *Summation of a double hypergeometric series*. Mathematiche (Catania). Vol. 22 pp. 138-142 1967.
- [7] CARLITZ L., *A transformation formula for multiple hypergeometric series*. Monatsh. math. Vol. 71 pp. 1-6 1967.
- [8] CARLITZ L., *Summation of a special $4F_3$* Bull. Un. Mat. Ital. (3), 18 pp. 90-93 1963.
- [9] JAIN R. N., *Sum of a double hypergeometric series*. Matematiche (Catania). Vol. 21 pp. 300-301 1966.
- [10] SLATER L. J., *Generalised hypergeometric functions*. Cambridge university press. 1966.
- [11] SHARMA B. L., *Summation of a double hypergeometric series*. Matematiche (Catania). Vol. 28 pp. 1-3 1973.
- [12] SHARMA B. L., *Summation of a double hypergeometric series. (II)* Matematiche (Catania) Vol. XXXI 1976.
- [13] SHARMA B. L., *Sum of a double series*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 52 (1975) pp. 136-138.
- [14] SHARMA B. L., *On the theorems of Saalschutz, Watson, Dixon and Whipple for double series*. Rev. Mat. Hisp-Amer. (Communicated for publication).
- [15] SHARMA B. L., *Some relations between hypergeometric series*. Simon stervin. (accepted for publication).
- [16] SHARMA B. L., *A note on Carlitz's formula*. Siam. J. Math. Anal. Vol. 7, No. 6 (1976).
- [17] SHARMA B. L. and R. F. A. ABIODUN, *Formulae for hypergeometric functions of two variables*. Analele universitatii Bucuresti. Mat-Mec. 22, No. 2 pp. 137-139 1973.