

## On some Semilinear Periodic Parabolic Problems

T. GODOY AND U. KAUFMANN (\*)

SUMMARY. - *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a smooth bounded domain. We study existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for some semilinear Dirichlet periodic parabolic problems of the form  $Lu = h(x, t, u)$  in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$  for a class of Caratheodory functions  $h : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(\cdot, 0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \xi^{-1}h(\cdot, \xi) = 0$  or  $\pm\infty$ . All results remain true for the corresponding elliptic problems.*

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a  $C^{2+\theta}$  bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $N \geq 2$ . For  $T > 0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $L_T^p$  be the Banach space of  $T$ -periodic functions  $f$  on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$  (i.e. satisfying  $f(x, t) = f(x, t + T)$  a.e.  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ ) such that  $f|_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \in L^p(\Omega \times (0, T))$ , equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_{L_T^p} := \|f|_{\Omega \times (0, T)}\|_{L^p(\Omega \times (0, T))}$ . Let  $C_T$  be the space of continuous and  $T$ -periodic functions on  $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$  provided with the  $L^\infty$  norm, and let  $C_T^{1+\theta, (1+\theta)/2}$  be the space of  $T$ -periodic functions belonging to  $C^{1+\theta, (1+\theta)/2}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $\{a_{ij}\}$ ,  $\{b_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ , be two families of  $T$ -periodic functions satisfying  $a_{ij} \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ ,  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  and  $b_j \in L_T^\infty$ , and

---

(\*) Partially supported by CONICET, Secyt-UNC, ANPCYT and Ag. Córdoba Ciencia.

Authors' addresses:

Tomás Godoy and Uriel Kaufmann, Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía y Física, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina; E-mail: godoy@mate.uncor.edu, kaufmann@mate.uncor.edu

AMS Subject Clasification: 35K20, 35P05, 35B10

assume that

$$\sum a_{ij}(x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \alpha_0 |\xi|^2$$

for some  $\alpha_0 > 0$  and all  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $A$  be the  $N \times N$  matrix whose  $i, j$  entry is  $a_{ij}$ , let  $\bar{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$ , let  $0 \leq c_0 \in L_T^\infty$  and let  $L$  be the parabolic operator given by

$$Lu = u_t - \operatorname{div}(A\nabla u) + \langle \bar{b}, \nabla u \rangle + c_0 u$$

Let  $W = \{u \in L^2((0, T), H_0^1(\Omega)) : u_t \in L^2((0, T), H^{-1}(\Omega))\}$ . For  $h \in L_T^2$ , we say that  $u$  is a (weak) solution of the periodic problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu = h & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ u \text{ } T\text{-periodic} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

if  $u$  is  $T$ -periodic,  $u|_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \in W$  and

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \left[ -u \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + \langle A\nabla u, \nabla g \rangle + \langle b, \nabla u \rangle g + c_0 u g \right] = \int_{\Omega \times (0, T)} h g$$

for all  $g \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . For  $u \in W$ , the inequality  $Lu \geq h$  (respectively  $\leq$ ) in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$  will be understood in the analogous weak sense.

For  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  let  $W_r^{2,1}(\Omega \times (t_0, t_1))$  be the Sobolev space of the functions  $u \in L^r(\Omega \times (t_0, t_1))$ ,  $u = u(x_1, \dots, x_N, t)$ , such that  $u_t$ ,  $u_{x_j}$  and  $u_{x_i x_j}$  belong to  $L^r(\Omega \times (t_0, t_1))$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ , and let  $W_{r,T}^{2,1}$  be the space of  $T$ -periodic functions such that  $u|_{\Omega \times (0, T)} \in W_r^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . For  $f \in L_T^r$ ,  $r > 1$ , we say that  $u$  is a strong solution of (1) if  $u \in W_{r,T}^{2,1}$  and the equation holds a.e. in the pointwise sense.

Let  $f, g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two Caratheodory functions, i.e.  $(x, t) \rightarrow f(x, t, \xi)$  is measurable for all  $\xi \geq 0$  and  $\xi \rightarrow f(x, t, \xi)$  is continuous in  $[0, \infty)$  a.e.  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ , and the same for  $g$ . Assume that  $f(\cdot, \xi)$  and  $g(\cdot, \xi)$  belong to  $L_T^r$ ,  $r > (N + 2)/2$ , for all  $\xi \geq 0$  and that both are  $T$ -periodic in  $t$ . Let

H1. There exist  $c_f, p_1, p_2, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\xi} > 0$  and  $0 \leq a \in L_T^\infty$  such that

$$c_f \xi^{p_1} \leq f(x, t, \xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in (0, \underline{\xi}] \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (2)$$

$$f(x, t, \xi) \leq a(x, t) \xi^{p_2} \text{ for all } \xi \in [\bar{\xi}, \infty) \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \quad (3)$$

H2. There exist  $b, c_g, q_1, q_2, \underline{\xi}, \bar{\xi} > 0$  with  $q_1 > p_2$  if  $p_2 \geq 1$  such that

$$c_g \xi^{q_1} \leq g(x, t, \xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in [\bar{\xi}, \infty) \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (4)$$

$$g(x, t, \xi) \leq b \xi^{q_2} \text{ for all } \xi \in (0, \underline{\xi}] \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \quad (5)$$

Our aim in this paper is to study existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for semilinear periodic parabolic problems of the form

$$\begin{cases} Lu = \lambda f(x, t, u) - g(x, t, u) & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ u \text{ } T\text{-periodic} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a real parameter and  $f, g$  satisfy conditions H1 and H2. Let us mention that as a consequence of our proofs the results remain true for the corresponding elliptic problems. For applications we refer to [2], [16].

In order to describe our results and relate them to others in the literature, let us take as an example of the above situation the problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu = \lambda a(x, t) h(u) u^p - b(x, t) u^q := H(x, t, u) & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ u \text{ } T\text{-periodic} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where  $0 < a_0 \leq a \in L_T^\infty$ ,  $0 < b_0 \leq b \in L_T^\infty$ ,  $p, q > 0$  and  $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function such that  $h(0) \geq 0$  with  $h'(0) > 0$  if  $h(0) = 0$ , and  $\sup_{\xi > 0} h(\xi) < \infty$ .

When  $p = 1 < q$  and  $h \equiv 1$ , (7) becomes the well-known logistic equation that has been widely studied in recent years. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions is  $\lambda > \lambda_1(a)$ , where  $\lambda_1(a)$  is the (unique) positive principal eigenvalue of the linear problem with weight

$$\begin{cases} Lu = \lambda a(x, t) u & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ u \text{ } T\text{-periodic} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

(see e.g. [14], [17] and the references therein for the elliptic problem and [12], [10] for the periodic parabolic case). However, if for instance

$h(u) = \sin u$ , then neither the approach in [12] nor the one in [10] can be applied because  $\xi \rightarrow \xi^{-1}H(., \xi)$  is no longer decreasing and  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \xi^{-1}H(., \xi) = 0$ . As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 we shall see that a similar result still holds for (7), namely there exists  $\Lambda \geq \lambda_1(a) / \sup_{\xi > 0} h(\xi)$  such that (7) has a positive solution for all  $\lambda > \Lambda$  and there is no positive solution if  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$ .

If  $0 < p, q < 1$  and  $h \equiv 1$ , in the elliptic case it is also known that there exists some  $\Lambda \geq 0$  such that (7) has a positive solution for all  $\lambda > \Lambda$  and that there is no positive solution if  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$ . In fact, it was proved under additional smoothness assumptions on  $a$  and  $b$  that if  $p \leq q$  then  $\Lambda = 0$ , and that if  $p > q$  then  $\Lambda > 0$  (see e.g. [21], [4], [15] and its references). For the periodic parabolic problem, recently the authors have found existence of positive solutions for all  $\lambda$  large enough in [11]. We note however that there it is asked that either  $q > 1 - 1/(N + 2)$  or  $b$  satisfies a quite strong assumption. From Theorem 3.1 below it will follow that a similar result as is in the elliptic case is true for (7) with no restrictions on  $q$  and  $b$  (and not necessarily  $h \equiv 1$ ) and we shall also have a lower estimate for such a  $\Lambda$  when  $p > q$ .

If  $0 < p < 1 \leq q$  and  $h \equiv 1$ , existence of positive solutions for (7) was obtained for all  $\lambda > 0$  in [10], but again the approach followed there fails if one takes  $h$  such that  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0^+} \xi^{-1}H(., \xi) = 0$  (for the elliptic problem, similar results are given in [4] for  $0 < p < q, p < 1, a \equiv 1$  and  $0 < b \in C^\theta(\overline{\Omega})$ , and in [3] for  $0 < p < 1 \leq q, a \equiv 1$  and  $0 \leq b \in C^\theta(\overline{\Omega})$ ). Theorem 3.1 also extends these results in this case.

Finally, to our knowledge no results are known for (7) when  $1 < p < q$ , even if  $h \equiv 1$ , while this elliptic problem has been studied for example in [20], [13] for  $a = b = h \equiv 1$  and  $(N + 2)/(N - 2) < p < q$ , and recently in [6] for a quasilinear equation that includes the case  $1 < p < (N + 2)/(N - 2), q < 2N/(N - 2), a \equiv 1$  and  $b \geq 0$  satisfying some additional conditions. Theorem 3.1 shows that similar existence results as the ones quoted above are still valid in this situation, and that there exists a lower estimate for  $\Lambda$  and a positive solution for  $\lambda = \Lambda$  in this case.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank the referee for her-his careful and detailed reading of the paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

We start collecting some known facts about periodic parabolic problems with weight.

REMARK 2.1. *i) Let  $a \in L^r_T$ ,  $r > (N + 2) / 2$ , and let*

$$P(a) := \int_0^T \operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \Omega} a(x, t) dt.$$

*Then  $P(a) > 0$  is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a (unique) positive principal eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(a)$  for problem (8) (cf. [8], Theorem 3.6). We note that the case  $P(a) = +\infty$  is allowed (cf. [8], p. 218).*

*ii) Let  $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_1(a)$  if  $\lambda_1(a)$  exists or  $\lambda \geq 0$  if  $\lambda_1(a)$  does not exist. Then  $(L - \lambda a)^{-1} : L^r_T \rightarrow C_T$  ( $r > (N + 2) / 2$ ) is a well defined compact and positive operator (cf. [9], Lemma 2.9). In particular, if  $Lu \geq \lambda au$  (respectively  $\leq$ ) then  $\lambda \leq \lambda_1(a)$  if  $\lambda_1(a)$  exists (respectively  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1(a)$ ).*

*iii) The following comparison principle holds: if  $a_1, a_2 \in L^r_T$ ,  $P(a_1) > 0$  and  $a_1 \leq a_2$  in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\lambda_1(a_1) \geq \lambda_1(a_2)$  and, if in addition  $a_1 < a_2$  in a set of positive measure, then  $\lambda_1(a_1) > \lambda_1(a_2)$  (cf. [8], Remark 3.7).*

The following remark compiles necessary information of some singular periodic parabolic problems.

REMARK 2.2. *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1 / (N + 2)$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ , and consider the problem*

$$\begin{cases} Lv = -v^{-\alpha} + \lambda v^\beta & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ v \text{ } T\text{-periodic} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

*Then there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda > \lambda_0$  (9) has a positive strong solution  $v \in W^{2,1}_{r,T}$  for some  $r > N + 2$ . Moreover,  $v \in C^{1+\theta, (1+\theta)/2}_T$  and  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\nu$  denotes the outward normal unit vector (cf. [7], Theorems 3.1 and 3.3).*

### 3. The theorem

Let

$$P^\circ := \text{interior of the positive cone of } C_T^{1+\theta, (1+\theta)/2}$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** *i) Let  $f, g$  satisfying H1 and H2. Then there exists  $\Lambda \geq 0$  such that (6) has a (strictly) positive solution  $u = u_\lambda \in L_T^\infty$  for all  $\lambda > \Lambda$ , and if  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$  then there is no positive solution for (6). Moreover,  $u_\lambda$  can be chosen such that*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|u_\lambda\|_{L_T^\infty} = \infty \quad (10)$$

*Assume in addition that (3) and (4) hold for all  $\xi > 0$ .*

*ii) If  $p_2 = 1$ , then*

$$\Lambda \geq \lambda_1(a) \quad (11)$$

*iii) If  $p_2 > 1$ , then there exists a positive solution  $u_\Lambda \in L_T^\infty$  for  $\lambda = \Lambda$  and*

$$\Lambda \geq \lambda_1(a)^{(q_1-p_2)/(q_1-1)} \left( c_g / \|a\|_{L_T^\infty} \right)^{(p_2-1)/(q_1-1)} \quad (12)$$

*iv) If  $0 < q_1 < p_2 < 1$ , then*

$$\Lambda \geq c_g^{(1-p_2)/(1-q_1)} / \left( \|a\|_{L_T^\infty} \|L^{-1}\|_{L_T^\infty \rightarrow L_T^\infty}^{(p_2-q_1)/(1-q_1)} \right) := \tilde{\Lambda} \quad (13)$$

*Also, either if  $f(\cdot, \xi), g(\cdot, \xi) \in L_T^r$  for some  $r > N + 2$  and all  $\xi \geq 0$  or if in addition (2) and (5) hold for all  $\xi > 0$ , then  $u_\lambda \in W_{r,T}^{2,1} \cap P^\circ$  whenever such  $u_\lambda$  exists.*

*Proof.* In order to prove (i) we start constructing a subsolution for (6). Let  $\alpha, \beta, \lambda_0$  be as in Remark 2.2, and let  $v = v_{\lambda^*} \in W_{r,T}^{2,1} \cap P^\circ$  be a solution of (9) corresponding to some  $\lambda^* > \lambda_0$ . Let  $\underline{\xi} > 0$  be given by H1 and H2 (clearly we may assume that both  $\underline{\xi}$  coincide). Choose  $k = \underline{\xi} / \|v\|_\infty$  and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(k) > 0$  such that  $b\underline{\xi}^{q_2} + \lambda^* k^{1-\beta} \underline{\xi}^\beta \leq k^{1+\alpha} \underline{\xi}^{-\alpha}$  for all  $0 < \xi \leq \varepsilon$ . Define  $v_k := kv$  and  $h(x, t, \xi) := \lambda f(x, t, \xi) - g(x, t, \xi)$ ,

and pick  $\lambda \geq (\lambda^* k^{1-\beta} \underline{\xi}^\beta + b \underline{\xi}^{q_2}) / (c_f \varepsilon^{p_1})$ . Since  $v_k \leq \underline{\xi}$ , from (9), (2) and (5) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Lv_k &= -k^{1+\alpha} v_k^{-\alpha} + \lambda^* k^{1-\beta} v_k^\beta \\ &\leq -b \underline{\xi}^{q_2} \chi_{\{0 < v_k < \varepsilon\}} + \lambda^* k^{1-\beta} \underline{\xi}^\beta \chi_{\{\varepsilon \leq v_k\}} \\ &\leq (\lambda c_f v_k^{p_1} - b v_k^{q_2}) \chi_{\{0 < v_k < \varepsilon\}} + (\lambda c_f \varepsilon^{p_1} - b \underline{\xi}^{q_2}) \chi_{\{\varepsilon \leq v_k\}} \\ &\leq h(x, t, v_k) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $v_k$  is a subsolution of (6).

On the other side, if  $p_2 \geq 1$  we have  $p_2 < q_1$  and so recalling (3) and (4) we see that for some constant  $K \gg 0$  it holds that

$$h(x, t, K) \leq \lambda \|a\|_\infty K^{p_2} - c_g K^{q_1} \leq 0 \leq L(K)$$

Hence,  $K$  is a supersolution of (6). Suppose now  $0 < p_2 < 1$ , and fix  $0 < \delta < \lambda_1(1)$  and  $K > \max(\bar{\xi}, (\lambda \|a\|_\infty / \delta)^{1/(1-p_2)})$ , where  $\bar{\xi}$  is given by H1. From Remark 2.1 (ii) there exists  $0 \leq w \in L_T^\infty$  solution of the Dirichlet periodic problem  $Lw = \delta(w + K)$  in  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, t, w + K) &\leq \lambda a(x, t) (w + K)^{p_2} \leq \lambda \|a\|_\infty (w + K) / K^{1-p_2} \\ &\leq \delta (w + K) \leq L(w + K) \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $w + K$  is a supersolution of (6). Hence, in any case we can apply [5], Theorem 1, to obtain a solution  $0 < u \in L_T^\infty$  of (6).

Let  $\Lambda := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \text{there exists } 0 < u_\lambda \in L_T^\infty \text{ solution of (6)}\} < \infty$ . Let  $\lambda > \Lambda$  and let  $\lambda > \bar{\lambda} > \Lambda$  such that there exists  $u_{\bar{\lambda}} \in L_T^\infty$  solution of (6) for  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ . Clearly  $u_{\bar{\lambda}}$  is a subsolution of (6). Moreover, as above we can choose a supersolution  $w \geq \|u_{\bar{\lambda}}\|_\infty$  and then again Theorem 1 in [5] gives a solution of (6).

Let us prove (10). Let  $\lambda_j$  be an increasing sequence such that  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \infty$ , and let  $u_{\lambda_j}$  be the corresponding positive solutions of (6). An inspection of the above part of the proof shows that we can choose  $u_{\lambda_j}$  such that  $\lambda_j \rightarrow u_{\lambda_j}$  is increasing and so there exists  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty := l \leq \infty$ . Suppose  $l < \infty$ , and let  $0 < u_\infty := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_{\lambda_j}$ . Dividing (6) by  $\lambda_j$  and going to the limit we find that  $f(x, t, u_\infty) = 0$ , which is not possible. Therefore, part (i) of the theorem is proved.

Assume now that (3) and (4) hold for all  $\xi > 0$ , and let  $\lambda > \Lambda$ ,  $0 < u \in L_T^\infty$  be the solution found above. If  $p_2 = 1$ , (3) and (4) imply  $Lu \leq \lambda au$  and hence Remark 2.1 (ii) gives  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1(a)$  and thus (11) follows (note that since (3) holds for all  $\xi > 0$ , (2) and (3) say that  $a$  is not identically zero, i.e.  $P(a) > 0$  and so  $\lambda_1(a)$  exists).

We prove (iii). Let  $\Lambda < \lambda_j$  be a decreasing sequence such that  $\lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda$  and let  $u_{\lambda_j}$  be the positive solutions of (6) for  $\lambda = \lambda_j$ . As before, we can choose  $u_{\lambda_j}$  such that  $j \rightarrow u_{\lambda_j}$  is decreasing and so  $\|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty \leq c$  for some  $c > 0$  not depending on  $j$ . Moreover, since  $|h(\cdot, u_{\lambda_j})| \leq \max_{0 \leq \xi \leq \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty} |h(\cdot, \xi)|$ , the assumptions on  $f$  and  $g$  give that  $\|h(x, t, u_{\lambda_j})\|_{L_T^r} \leq c$  with  $c$  not depending on  $j$  ( $r > (N + 2)/2$ ). Thus, from the compactness of  $L^{-1} : L_T^r \rightarrow C_T$  (cf. Remark 2.1 (ii)) we get some  $0 \leq u_\Lambda \in L_T^\infty$  solution of (6) for  $\lambda = \Lambda$ . In order to show that  $u_\Lambda$  is not identically zero it suffices to prove that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty \neq 0$ . Now, suppose  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty = 0$ , and let  $v_j := u_{\lambda_j} / \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty$ . Recalling (3) and (4) we get

$$0 < v_j \leq L^{-1} \left( \lambda_j a v_j u_{\lambda_j}^{p_2-1} - c_g v_j u_{\lambda_j}^{q_1-1} \right)$$

and thus going to the limit the continuity of  $L^{-1}$  implies  $v_j \rightarrow 0$  which is not possible, and so the first assertion of (iii) is proved.

Let  $k = (c_g/\lambda \|a\|_\infty)^{1/(q_1-p_2)}$ . Since  $1 < p_2 < q_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(ku) &\leq \lambda a k^{1-p_2} (ku)^{p_2} - c_g k^{1-q_1} (ku)^{q_1} \\ &\leq \lambda a k^{1-p_2} (ku) \chi_{\{0 < ku \leq 1\}} + (\lambda a k^{1-p_2} - c_g k^{1-q_1}) (ku)^{p_2} \chi_{\{ku > 1\}} \\ &\leq \lambda a k^{1-p_2} (ku) \chi_{\{0 < ku \leq 1\}} \end{aligned}$$

and so from the last statements in Remark 2.1 (ii) we get  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1(ak^{1-p_2}) = \lambda_1(a)k^{p_2-1}$  which in turn implies (12).

In order to prove (13) we proceed by contradiction. Suppose there exists a positive solution  $u$  for  $\lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}$ . Choose  $k := \left(\tilde{\Lambda} \|a\| \|L^{-1}\|\right)^{-1/(1-p_2)}$ . Recalling (3), (4) and that  $0 < p_2 < 1$  a computation shows that  $\|ku\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Taking into account this and



that  $q_1 < p_2$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} L(ku) &\leq \tilde{\Lambda} a k^{1-p_2} (ku)^{p_2} - c_g k^{1-q_1} (ku)^{q_1} \\ &\leq (\|a\| \|L^{-1}\|)^{-1} a (ku)^{p_2} - \|L^{-1}\|^{-1} (ku)^{q_1} \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction.

To end the proof, note that any of the last assumptions imply  $h(x, t, u) \in L_T^r$  for some  $r > N + 2$ . Since the operator  $L^{-1} : L_T^r \rightarrow W_{r,T}^{2,1}$  is continuous (see e.g. [19], Section 4) it follows that  $u \in W_{r,T}^{2,1}$ , and from the Sobolev imbedding theorems (e.g. [18], Lemma 3.3, p. 80) and the strong maximum principle (e.g. [2], Theorem 13.5) we get that  $u \in P^\circ$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. DANERS, *Domain perturbation for linear and nonlinear parabolic equations*, J. Differ. Equations **129** (1996), 358-402.
- [2] D. DANERS AND P. KOCH-MEDINA, *Abstract evolution equations, periodic problems and applications*, Longman Research Notes **279** (1992).
- [3] M. DELGADO, J. LÓPEZ-GÓMEZ AND A. SUÁREZ, *Nonlinear versus linear diffusion from classical solutions to metasolutions*. Adv. Differ. Equ. **7** (2002), 1101-1124.
- [4] M. DELGADO AND A. SUÁREZ, *On the structure of the positive solutions of the logistic equation with nonlinear diffusion*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **268** (2002), 200-216.
- [5] J. DEUEL AND P. HESS, *Nonlinear parabolic boundary value problems with upper and lower solutions*, Israel J. Math. **29** (1978), 92-104.
- [6] W. DONG, *A priori estimates and existence of positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation*, J. London Math. Soc. **72** (2005), 645-662.
- [7] T. GODOY, J. HERNÁNDEZ, U. KAUFMANN AND S. PACZKA, *On some singular periodic parabolic problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **62** (2005), 989-995.
- [8] T. GODOY AND U. KAUFMANN, *On principal eigenvalues for periodic parabolic problems with optimal condition on the weight function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **262** (2001), 208-220.
- [9] T. GODOY AND U. KAUFMANN, *On positive solutions for some semilinear periodic parabolic eigenvalue problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **277** (2003), 164-179.

- [10] T. GODOY AND U. KAUFMANN, *On the existence of positive solutions for periodic parabolic sublinear problems*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2003** (2003), 975-984.
- [11] T. GODOY AND U. KAUFMANN, *Periodic parabolic problems with nonlinearities indefinite in sign*, Publ. Mat. **51** (2007), 45-57.
- [12] T. GODOY, U. KAUFMANN AND S. PACZKA, *Positive solutions for sublinear periodic parabolic problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **55** (2003) 73-82.
- [13] Z. GUO AND H. ZHANG, *Large positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with critical and supercritical growth*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **270** (2002), 107-128.
- [14] J. HERNÁNDEZ, *Positive solutions for the logistic equation with unbounded weights*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **194** (1998), 183-197.
- [15] J. HERNÁNDEZ, F. MANCEBO AND J. VEGA, *Positive solutions for singular nonlinear elliptic equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, to appear.
- [16] P. HESS, *Periodic-parabolic boundary value problems and positivity*, Longman Research Notes **247** (1992).
- [17] N. HUY, *Positive weak solutions for some semilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **48** (2002) 939-945.
- [18] O. LADYSŽENKAJA, V. SOLONNIKOV AND N. URAL'CEVA, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Transl. Math. Mono, **23**, Amer. Math. Soc. (1968).
- [19] G. LIEBERMAN, *Time-periodic solutions of linear parabolic differential equations*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqs. **24** (1999), 631-664.
- [20] F. MERLE AND L.A. PELETIER, *Asymptotic behaviour of positive solutions of elliptic equations with critical and supercritical growth. II. The nonradial case*, J. Funct. Anal. **105**, (1992) 1-41.
- [21] L. MOSCHINI, S. POHOZAEV AND A. TESEI, *Existence and nonexistence of solutions of nonlinear Dirichlet problems with first order terms*, J. Funct. Anal. **177** (2000), 365-382.

Received February 16, 2007.