

# A CLASS OF LINEAR OPERATORS IN PERIODIC FUNCTION SPACES INCLUDING DIFFERENCE-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS (\*)

by MARINO ZENNARO (in Trieste) (\*\*)

**SOMMARIO.** - Si fa uno studio degli operatori lineari definiti negli spazi di Banach  $C_T^n$  delle funzioni  $T$ -periodiche e di classe  $C^n$ ,  $u: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , per i quali la composizione con gli operatori di traslazione  $u \rightarrow u(\cdot + \tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ , è commutativa. Si trovano gli autovalori e si dà una rappresentazione del tipo  $Lu = \int_0^T u(x^+) dG(x)$  per mezzo di funzioni a variazione limitata. I risultati teorici sono applicati ad operatori definiti da equazioni differenziali alle differenze.

**SUMMARY.** - This is a study of linear operators for which composition with shift operators  $u \rightarrow u(\cdot + \tau)$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ , on Banach spaces  $C_T^n$  of  $T$ -periodic functions  $u: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , is commutative. Eigenvalues are found and representations of the type  $Lu = \int_0^T u(x^+) dG(x)$  by functions of bounded variation are given. The abstract results are applied to operators given by difference-differential equations.

## 1. Introduction.

For  $T \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , the space

$$C_T^n := \{u \in C^n(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}) \mid u^{(i)}(t+T) = u^{(i)}(t) \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, i = 0, \dots, n\}$$

with the norm  $\|u\|_{C_T^n} := \sum_{k=0}^n \max_t |u^{(k)}(t)|$  is a Banach space.

(\*) Pervenuto in Redazione il 2 maggio 1983.

(\*\*) Indirizzo dell'Autore: Istituto di Matematica dell'Università - Piazzale Europa, 1 - 34100 Trieste.

Besides consider the space

$$L_T^2 := \{u: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \mid u(t+T) = u(t) \forall t \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and } u \in L^2([0, T], \mathbf{C})\}$$

which, equipped with the usual inner product  $(u, v) := \int_0^T u(t) \overline{v(t)} dt$ , is a Hilbert space of equivalence classes.

For every  $n \geq 0$  the space  $C_T^n$  is continuously embeddable in the space  $L_T^2$  and, moreover, for every  $m \geq n$  the embedding operator  $I: C_T^m \rightarrow C_T^n$  is completely continuous.

For each real number  $\tau$  let us define the following shift operator acting on  $T$ -periodic functions

$$S_\tau u(t) := u(t + \tau).$$

It is easy to see that  $S_\tau$  is an isometry (isomorphism which preserves the norm) on the spaces  $C_T^n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $S_\tau \circ S_{\tau'} = S_{\tau + \tau'}$  for every  $\tau, \tau' \in \mathbf{R}$ , the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of all the shifts turns out to be a group.

Next consider the space  $\mathcal{L}^{n,m} := \mathcal{L}(C_T^n, C_T^m)$  of the continuous linear operators mapping  $C_T^n$  into  $C_T^m$  and define an action of the group  $\mathcal{S}$  onto  $\mathcal{L}^{n,m}$  as follows

$$S_\tau x L := S_{-\tau} \circ L \circ S_\tau \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{L}^{n,m} \text{ and } \forall \tau \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Therefore the set

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m} := \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}(C_T^n, C_T^m) := \{L \in \mathcal{L}^{n,m} \mid S_\tau x L = L \forall \tau \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

is a closed linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}^{n,m}$ , and hence it is a Banach space.

Observe that the operators of  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m}$  are characterized by the property that they commute with the shifts, i.e.

$$L \circ S_\tau = S_\tau \circ L \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The spaces  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m}$  include various kinds of operators given, for example, by linear difference-differential equations (DDE's) with constant coefficients or by integral equations such as  $u(t) = \int_0^T K(t-x) u(x) dx + f(t)$  with a  $T$ -periodic convolution kernel.

We give an integral representation theorem for the operators of  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$  and hence, in particular, for the  $T$ -periodic solutions of DDE's. Many representation theorems are known for initial value problems to DDE's (see for example L.E.El'sgol'ts-S. B. Norkin [3] and J. Hale [4]) and for ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces with many kinds of lateral conditions (see for example C. S. Hönl [5]). On the contrary similar results do not seem to exist for  $T$ -periodic solutions to DDE's.

We also obtain again some known results on the spectral theory and on the solvability of DDE's with constant coefficients (see for example L.E.El'sgol'ts-S. B. Norkin [2] and S. Invernizzi-F. Zanolin [6]). Furthermore the representation theorems provide a straightforward estimate of the rate of uniform convergence for the Fourier expansion of the solutions.

This research was suggested by a paper of A. Bellen [1] in which he studies an iterative monotone method for the numerical solution of nonlinear delay differential equations of the type

$$u^{(n)}(t) = f(t, u(t), u(t-\tau)) \quad n = 1, 2,$$

in spaces of  $T$ -periodic functions. An iteration requires the solution of a linear difference-differential equation.

Moreover a maximum principle and the knowledge of upper and lower solutions are needed. The results of this paper are fully used in M. Zennaro [7], where some maximum principles are proved, and in A. Bellen-M. Zennaro [2], where a method for finding upper and lower solutions is given.

## 2. The spaces $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m}$ . Eigenvalues and eigenspaces.

Define for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  the function  $e_k(t) := \exp\left(\frac{2k\pi i t}{T}\right)$ . The set  $E := \{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is an orthogonal system in  $L_T^2$ , and is a fundamental set in  $C_T^n$ , since  $\text{span } E$  is dense in  $C_T^n$ , for every  $n \geq 0$ .

The spaces  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m}$  can be characterized as follows.

**THEOREM 2.1** - For every  $L \in \mathcal{L}^{n,m}$  the statements

- (i) -  $L \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m}$ ;
  - (ii) - For every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  there exists  $\lambda_k \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $Le_k = \lambda_k e_k$ ;
- are equivalent.

*Proof.* Let (i) be true. Since  $S_\tau e_k = e_k(\tau) e_k$  for every  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ , we have that  $S_\tau \circ Le_k = L \circ S_\tau e_k = e_k(\tau) Le_k$  and then  $Le_k(t + \tau) = e_k(\tau) Le_k(t)$  for every  $t, \tau$ . For  $t = 0$  we have  $Le_k(\tau) = Le_k(0) e_k(\tau)$  for every  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ . Therefore (ii) is proved, with  $\lambda_k = Le_k(0)$ .

Conversely, assume (ii) to be true. It follows that if  $p$  is a trigonometric polynomial, i.e.  $p = \sum_{i=-s}^s a_i e_i$ , then

$$L \circ S_\tau p = \sum_i a_i L \circ S_\tau e_i = \sum_i a_i e_i(\tau) Le_i = \sum_i a_i e_i(\tau) \lambda_i e_i =$$

$$= S_{\tau}(\sum_i a_i \lambda_i e_i) = S_{\tau} \circ Lp.$$

Since  $\text{span } E$  is dense in  $C_T^n$  and  $L$  is continuous, (i) holds, too. ■

Throughout the paper we shall mark the dependence of the numbers  $\lambda_k$  on  $L$  by  $\lambda_k^L$ .

It is easy to prove the following three corollaries.

**COROLLARY 2.2** - Let  $L, M \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,m}$ ; then we have  $\lambda_k^{L+M} = \lambda_k^L + \lambda_k^M$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.3** - Let  $L \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,m}$ ; if there exists  $L^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^{m,n}$ , then  $L^{-1} \in \mathcal{LS}^{m,n}$  and  $\lambda_k^{L^{-1}} = (\lambda_k^L)^{-1}$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4** - Let  $L \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,m}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{LS}^{m,p}$ ; then  $M \circ L \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,p}$  and  $\lambda_k^{M \circ L} = \lambda_k^M \cdot \lambda_k^L$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

When the continuous operator  $L$ , acting from  $C_T^n$  into  $C_T^m$ , will be regarded as a continuous operator acting from  $C_T^q$  into  $C_T^p$ , we shall still denote it by  $L$ .

**THEOREM 2.5** - Let  $L$  belong both to  $\mathcal{LS}^{n,m}$  and  $\mathcal{LS}^{q,p}$  and let  $M$  belong both to  $\mathcal{LS}^{m,p}$  and  $\mathcal{LS}^{n,q}$ ; then they commute, i.e.  $L \circ M = M \circ L$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.4 we have that  $L \circ M$  and  $M \circ L$  belong to  $\mathcal{LS}^{n,p}$  and  $\lambda_k^{M \circ L} = \lambda_k^{L \circ M} = \lambda_k^L \cdot \lambda_k^M$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Therefore, if  $p$  is a trigonometric polynomial, i.e.  $p = \sum_{i=-s}^s a_i e_i$ , then

$$M \circ Lp = \sum_i a_i M \circ L e_i = \sum_i a_i \lambda_i^{M \circ L} e_i = \sum_i a_i \lambda_i^{L \circ M} e_i = \sum_i a_i L \circ M e_i = L \circ Mp.$$

Since  $\text{span } E$  is dense in  $C_T^n$  and  $L \circ M, M \circ L$  are continuous, it follows that  $L \circ M = M \circ L$ . ■

**THEOREM 2.6** -  $L \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,m}$  implies  $L \in \mathcal{LS}^{n+k, m+k}$  for every  $k \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* For  $n = 0$  and  $m \geq 0$  this is a consequence of the representation theorems for the spaces  $\mathcal{LS}^{0,m}$  given in Section 3. Infact we shall see that for every  $L \in \mathcal{LS}^{0,m}$  there exists a function  $G, [G] \in S_T^m$  (see Theorem 3.6), such that  $Lf(t) = \int_0^T f(x+t) dG(x)$  for every  $f \in C_T^0$ . Now, if  $f \in C_T^k$ , it is easily seen that the following equalities hold for  $i = 1, \dots, k$

$$(Lf)^{(i)}(t) = \int_0^T f^{(i)}(x+t) dG(x) = Lf^{(i)}(t)$$

and hence, since  $f^{(k)}$  is continuous, we have that  $Lf \in C_T^{m+k}$ .

Moreover let  $\|L\|_{0,m}$  be the norm of  $L$  as operator from  $C_T^0$  into  $C_T^m$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} \|L\|_{C_T^{m+k}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|(Lf^{(i)})\|_{\infty} + \sum_{i=k}^{m+k} \|(Lf^{(i)})\|_{\infty} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|Lf^{(i)}\|_{\infty} + \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^m \|(Lf^{(k)})^{(i)}\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|L\|_{0,m} \|f^{(i)}\|_{\infty} + \|Lf^{(k)}\|_{C_T^m} \leq \\ &\leq \|L\|_{0,m} \sum_{i=0}^k \|f^{(i)}\|_{\infty} = \|L\|_{0,m} \|f\|_{C_T^k} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $L$  is continuous also from  $C_T^k$  into  $C_T^{m+k}$ .

Assume the theorem true for  $n-1$  and  $m \geq 0$ . It is easy to see that the operator  $J: u \rightarrow u' - u$  belongs to  $\mathcal{LS}^{p,p-1}$  for every  $p \geq 1$  and that there exists  $J^{-1} \in \mathcal{LS}^{p-1,p}$ . By Corollary 2.4 we have  $L \circ J^{-1} \in \mathcal{LS}^{n-1,m}$ , since  $J^{-1} \in \mathcal{LS}^{n-1,n}$ .

By the inductive hypothesis  $L \circ J^{-1} \in \mathcal{LS}^{n-1+k,m+k}$  for every  $k \geq 1$ ; since  $J \in \mathcal{LS}^{n+k,n-1+k}$ , we have that  $L = L \circ J^{-1} \circ J \in \mathcal{LS}^{n+k,m+k}$ . So the proof is complete. ■

For every  $L \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,m}$  let us call *eigenvalue* of  $L$  each complex number  $\lambda$  such that  $Lu = \lambda u$  for some  $u \in C_T^n$ ,  $u \neq 0$ .

For every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $L \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,m}$  define the set

$$K_{L,\lambda} := \{k \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \lambda_k^L = \lambda\}$$

which, obviously, may be empty. Besides, define

$$E_{L,\lambda} := \{e_k\}_{k \in K_{L,\lambda}}$$

and denote the sets  $E_{L,0}$  and  $K_{L,0}$  by  $E_L$  and  $K_L$  respectively.

Let  $N_{L,\lambda}$  be the linear manifold of the functions  $u \in C_T^n$  such that  $Lu = \lambda u$ . Note that  $N_{L,0} = \text{kern } L$  and  $N_{L,\lambda} = \{0\}$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue of  $L$ .

LEMMA 2.7 - If  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$  and  $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$ , then we have that  $C_T^n = \overline{\text{span } E_1} \oplus \overline{\text{span } E_2}$  for every  $n \geq 0$ .

The proof is standard and is omitted for the sake of brevity.

Now we are able to prove the following theorem concerning the structure of the linear manifold  $N_{L,\lambda}$ .

THEOREM 2.8 - Let  $L \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,m}$  and let  $\lambda$  be a complex number; then we have  $N_{L,\lambda} = \overline{\text{span } E_{L,\lambda}}$ , where  $\text{span } \emptyset = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $L$  is continuous, we have  $\overline{\text{span } E_{L,\lambda} C_T^n} \subseteq N_{L,\lambda}$ . Conversely, let  $u \in N_{L,\lambda}$ ; then, by Lemma 2.7, we have that  $u = v + w$ , where  $v \in \overline{\text{span } E_{L,\lambda} C_T^n}$  and  $w \in \overline{\text{span } (E - E_{L,\lambda}) C_T^n}$ .

Consider the operator  $J$  defined in the proof of Theorem 2.6; by Theorem 2.5 we have  $J^{-1} \cdot L = L \cdot J^{-1}$  and then  $L(J^{-1}u) = J^{-1}(Lu) = \lambda J^{-1}u$ . Since  $J^{-1}u \in C_T^{n+1}$ , its Fourier expansion  $\sum a_k e_k$  converges uniformly with all its derivatives up to the  $n$ -th, i.e. in  $C_T^n$ , to  $J^{-1}u$ . Therefore, since  $L$  is continuous,

$$L(J^{-1}u) - \lambda J^{-1}u = \sum_k a_k (\lambda_k^L - \lambda) e_k = 0$$

and we have  $\lambda = \lambda_k^L$  for every  $a_k \neq 0$ , i.e.  $J^{-1}u \in \overline{\text{span } E_{L,\lambda} C_T^n}$ . On the other hand  $J^{-1}$  is an isomorphism of  $C_T^n$  onto  $C_T^{n+1}$  and  $J^{-1}$  maps  $\text{span } E_{L,\lambda}$  into itself. Thus we have

$$J^{-1}v \in \overline{\text{span } E_{L,\lambda} C_T^{n+1}} \subset \overline{\text{span } E_{L,\lambda} C_T^n}$$

and

$$J^{-1}w \in \overline{\text{span } (E - E_{L,\lambda}) C_T^{n+1}} \subset \overline{\text{span } (E - E_{L,\lambda}) C_T^n}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.7,  $J^{-1}w = 0$ , i.e.  $w = 0$  and  $u = v$ . ■

This theorem yields, as a corollary, the following result on the set of the eigenvalues of  $L$ .

**COROLLARY 2.9** - If  $L \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m}$ , its eigenvalues are exactly  $\{\lambda_k^L\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}}$ .

**THEOREM 2.10** - Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m}$ ; then  $L = 0$  if and only if  $\lambda_k^L = 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

*Proof.* If  $L = 0$ , it obviously follows that  $\lambda_k^L = 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Conversely, if  $\lambda_k^L = 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we have  $Lp = 0$  for every  $p \in \text{span } E$  and therefore, since  $\text{span } E$  is dense in  $C_T^n$  and  $L$  is continuous, it follows that  $L = 0$ . ■

The following theorem can be proved by the same arguments of Theorem 2.8.

**THEOREM 2.11** - Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m}$  and let  $R(L)$  be the range of  $L$ ; then  $\overline{R(L)} = \overline{\text{span } (E - E_L)}$ .

Since  $\overline{\text{span } (E - E_L) C_T^m} = C_T^m \cap (\overline{\text{span } E_L^{L^2} C_T^2})^\perp$ , we have immediately the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.12** - Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,m}$  and let  $R(L)$  be closed; then the equation  $Lu = f$  has a solution in  $C_T^n$  if and only if  $(f, e_k) = 0$  for every  $k \in K_L$ .

### 3. Representation theorems for the spaces $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$ .

First consider the case  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,0}$ .

**LEMMA 3.1** - The space  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,0}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $C_T^{0*}$ , the dual space of  $C_T^0$ .

*Proof.* Indeed one can prove by direct arguments that the operator

$$K : \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,0} \rightarrow C_T^{0*} \quad \text{such that} \quad K(L) := P_0^* \circ L,$$

where  $P_0^*$  is the evaluation functional defined by  $P_0^* u := u(0)$ , is linear and preserves the norm.

On the other hand there exists the inverse

$$K^{-1} : C_T^{0*} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,0}$$

defined as follows:

for every  $F^* \in C_T^{0*}$  and  $u \in C_T^0$   $K^{-1}(F^*) u(t) := F^* \circ S_t u$ . ■

Let us consider the space  $BV_0([0, T], \mathbf{C})$  of the complex functions  $G$  defined in  $[0, T]$  which are of bounded variation and are such that  $G(x+0) = G(x)$  for every  $x \in (0, T)$  and  $G(0) = 0$  (see C. S. Hönl [5]).

$$\text{Let } \Phi(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } x = T \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < x < T \end{cases}$$

$$S_T^0 := \frac{BV_0([0, T], \mathbf{C})}{\text{span}\{\Phi\}}.$$

The following lemma is a trivial consequence of the Riesz theorem.

**LEMMA 3.2** - The space  $C_T^{0*}$  is isometrically isomorphic to the space  $S_T^0$ , and for every  $F^* \in C_T^{0*}$  we have that

$$F^* u = \int_0^T u(x) dG(x) \quad \text{for every } u \in C_T^0,$$

where  $[G]$  is the element of  $S_T^0$  corresponding to the linear functional  $F^*$  in the isometry.

Combining the results of Lemmata 3.1 - 3.2, we easily obtain the representation theorem for the operators of the space  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,0}$ .

**THEOREM 3.3** - There exists an isometry  $\mathcal{G}_0$  between the space  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,0}$  and the space  $S_T^0$ , and for every  $L \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,0}$  we have that

$$Lu(t) = \int_0^T u(x+t) dG(x)$$

for every  $u \in C_T^0$  and for every real number  $t$ , where  $[G] = \mathfrak{G}_0(L)$ .

Each function  $G \in \mathfrak{G}_0(L)$  will be called *representative function* of the operator  $L$ .

In the space  $S_T^0$  the norm is given by  $\| [G] \|_0 := \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}} V(G + \lambda \Phi)$ , where  $V(G + \lambda \Phi)$  is the variation of  $G + \lambda \Phi$ .

Using Corollary 2.9 and Theorem 3.3 we can derive a result on the representation of all the eigenvalues of the operators which belong to the space  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}^{0,0}$ .

**COROLLARY 3.4** - All the eigenvalues of  $L \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}^{0,0}$  are given by

$$\lambda_k^L = \int_0^T e_k(x) dG(x), \text{ where } [G] = \mathfrak{G}_0(L).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } Le_k(t) &= \int_0^T e_k(x+t) dG(x) = \int_0^T e_k(t) e_k(x) dG(x) = \\ &= \left( \int_0^T e_k(x) dG(x) \right) e_k(t). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Now consider  $n \geq 1$  and observe that  $L \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}^{0,n}$  implies  $L \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}^{0,m}$  for every  $m \leq n$ .

Let us denote by  $S_T^n$  the subspace of  $S_T^0$  of the classes of the representative functions of the operators  $L \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}^{0,n}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . In order to characterize the classes of  $S_T^n$ , we begin with the case  $n = 1$ .

**THEOREM 3.5** - The space  $S_T^1$  is made up as follows:

$$S_T^1 = \{ [G] \in S_T^0 \mid G(x) = \int_0^x F(\xi) d\xi$$

for some  $F$  such that  $F - F(0) \in BV_0([0, T], \mathbf{C})$  and  $F(0) = F(T)$  \}.

*Proof.* If such an  $F$  exists, we have immediately that  $[G] \in S_T^1$ . Conversely let us consider  $L \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}^{0,1}$ . The derivative operator  $D$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}^{1,0}$  and then  $D \cdot L \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}^{0,0}$ . According to Theorem 3.3, let  $[G] = \mathfrak{G}_0(L)$  and  $[H] = \mathfrak{G}_0(D \cdot L)$ .

Let  $g(t) \equiv 1$ ; it follows that

$$D \cdot Lg(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^T dG(x) = 0$$



and also

$$D \circ Lg(t) = \int_0^T dH(x) = H(T) - H(0)$$

and then  $H(0) = H(T)$ .

Now consider the function

$$K(x) := \int_0^x H(\xi) d\xi$$

and let  $f \in C_T^0$ ; it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t D \circ Lf(\xi) d\xi &= \int_0^t \left( \frac{d}{d\xi} \int_0^T f(x+\xi) dG(x) \right) d\xi = \\ &= \int_0^T f(x+t) dG(x) - \int_0^T f(x) dG(x) \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t D \circ Lf(\xi) d\xi &= \int_0^t \left( \int_0^T f(x+\xi) dH(x) \right) d\xi = \\ &= \int_0^T \left( \int_0^t f(x+\xi) d\xi \right) dH(x) = H(T) \int_0^t f(T+\xi) d\xi - H(0) \int_0^t f(\xi) d\xi - \\ &\quad - \int_0^T H(x) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^t f(x+\xi) d\xi \right) dx = \\ &= [H(T) - H(0)] \int_0^t f(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^T H(x) [f(x+t) - f(x)] dx = \\ &= - \int_0^T f(x+t) dK(x) + \int_0^T f(x) dK(x) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\int_0^T f(x+t) d(G+K)(x) = \int_0^T f(x) d(G+K)(x) \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

By integrating we obtain

$$\int_0^T \left( \int_0^T f(x+t) d(G+K)(x) \right) dt = \int_0^T \left( \int_0^T f(x+t) dt \right) d(G+K)(x) =$$

$$= T\bar{f} \int_0^T d(G+K)(x), \text{ where } \bar{f} := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\xi) d\xi \text{ is the mean of } f.$$

Moreover

$$\int_0^T \left( \int_0^T f(x+t) d(G+K)(x) \right) dt = T \int_0^T f(x) d(G+K)(x)$$

and therefore we can conclude that

$$\int_0^T f(x+t) d(G+K)(x) = \bar{f} \int_0^T d(G+K)(x).$$

If we put

$$\rho := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T d(G+K)(x),$$

we have that  $[G(x)] = [\rho x - K(x)]$  in  $S_T^0$  and hence we can suppose that  $G(x) = \rho x - K(x)$ .

Thus the function  $F(x) := \rho - H(x)$  is such that  $G(x) = \int_0^x F(\xi) d\xi$ ,  $F - F(0) \in BV_0([0, T], \mathbf{C})$  and  $F(0) = F(T)$ .

The uniqueness of  $F$  is trivial. ■

The function  $F$  will be called *main derivative* of the representative function  $G$ .

Besides, we shall denote by  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  the restriction of  $\mathfrak{G}_0$  to  $\mathcal{L}S^{0,1}$  and renorm the space  $S_T^1$  by  $\|[G]\|_1 := \|[G]\|_0 + V(F)$ . It is easy to verify that  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  is an isometry between  $\mathcal{L}S^{0,1}$  and  $S_T^1$ .

For  $n \geq 2$  the following characterization holds for  $S_T^n$ .

**THEOREM 3.6** - The space  $S_T^n$  is made up as follows:

$$S_T^n = \{[G] \in S_T^0 \mid G \in C^{n-1}([0, T], \mathbf{C}), [G^{(n-1)} - G^{(n-1)}(0)] \in S_T^1$$

and  $G^{(k)}(0) = G^{(k)}(T)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}S^{0,2}$ ; then  $D \cdot L \in \mathcal{L}S^{0,1}$ . Let  $[G] = \mathfrak{G}_0(L)$  and  $[H] = \mathfrak{G}_0(D \cdot L)$ ; we have that  $[H] \in S_T^1$ , so that  $H$  may be supposed to be continuous. By the same arguments of Theorem 3.5 we obtain  $H(0) = H(T)$  and we can suppose  $G(x) = \rho x - K(x)$ , where we have put

$$K(x) := \int_0^x H(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \rho := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T d(G + K)(x).$$

It follows that  $G \in C^1([0, T], \mathbf{C})$  and that  $G'(x) = \rho - H(x)$ , so that  $G'(0) = G'(T)$  and  $[G' - G'(0)] \in S_T^1$ .

Conversely it is easy to see that a function  $G$  which fulfils these properties defines an operator  $L \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,2}$ .

For  $n \geq 3$  the proof can be easily carried out by induction. ■

Like before,  $\mathcal{G}_n$  will denote the restriction of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  to the subspace  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$ . The space  $S_T^n$  will be renormed by

$$\|[G]\|_n := \|[G]\|_0 + V(G') + \dots + V(G^{(n-1)}) + V(F),$$

where, according to the definitions,  $F$  is the main derivative of the function  $G^{(n-1)} - G^{(n-1)}(0)$ .  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is an isometry between  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$  and  $S_T^n$ . Remark that, if  $[G] \in S_T^n$  with  $n \geq 2$ , the main derivative of  $G$  is exactly the ordinary derivative  $G'$ .

#### 4. Smooth operators.

It is interesting to consider the subspace of  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$  which consists of those operators which have a representative function with certain smoothness properties.

We shall say that  $L \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$  is *smooth* if it has a representative function which is of class  $C^n$ , and we shall denote by  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$  the set of such operators.

For the operators of  $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$  the corresponding subspace of  $S_T^n$  is

$$SS_T^n := \{[G] \in S_T^n \mid [G] \cap C^n([0, T], \mathbf{C}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We have obviously that  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n} \subset \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,m}$  for every  $m < n$ .

**THEOREM 4.1** -  $SS_T^n$  is closed in  $S_T^n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $n = 0$ , it is a consequence of the fact that the convergence in  $BV_0$  implies the uniform convergence; thus  $BV_0 \cap C^0$  is closed in  $BV_0$  and then  $SS_T^0$  is closed in  $S_T^0$ .

If  $n = 1$ , assume  $\{[G_k]\} \rightarrow [G]$  in  $S_T^1$  and  $[G_k] \in SS_T^1$ . We can suppose  $G_k \in C^1$ ,  $G \in C^0$ ,  $G_k \rightarrow G$  uniformly and  $G'_k - G'_k(0) \in BV_0 \cap C^0$ . Let  $H_k$  and  $H$  be respectively the main derivatives of  $G_k$  and  $G$ ; it

is easy to see that  $H_k(x) = G'_k(x) + \rho_k [1 - \Theta(x)]$ , where  $\rho_k := G'_k(T) - G'_k(0)$  and

$$\Theta(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Since  $H_k - H_k(0) \rightarrow H - H(0)$  in  $BV_0$  and  $G'_k(T) = H_k(0)$ , we have that  $G'_k - G'_k(0) - \rho_k \Theta \rightarrow H - H(0)$ . On the other hand  $\Theta$  is not a continuous function, and we recall that  $BV_0 \cap C^0$  is closed in  $BV_0$ . Therefore  $G'_k - G'_k(0) \rightarrow F \in BV_0 \cap C^0$  in  $BV_0$  (and then uniformly, too) and  $\rho_k \rightarrow \rho \in \mathbf{C}$ . Thus, by integrating, we have that  $G_k(x) - G'_k(0)x \rightarrow \int_0^x F(\xi) d\xi$  for every  $x \in [0, T]$ .

Moreover, from the convergence of  $G_k(x)$  to  $G(x)$ , it follows that  $G'_k(0) \rightarrow \eta \in \mathbf{C}$  and so we have  $G(x) = \eta x + \int_0^x F(\xi) d\xi$ , i.e.  $G \in C^1([0, T], \mathbf{C})$ , i.e.  $[G] \in SS_T^1$ .

If  $n \geq 2$ , the proof is carried out by induction. Assume that the theorem holds for  $n - 1$ . Consider a sequence  $\{[G_k]\}$  converging to  $[G]$  in  $S_T^n$  such that  $[G_k] \in SS_T^n$ . We can suppose that  $G_k \in C^n$ ,  $G \in C^{n-1}$  and  $G'_k - G'_k(0) \in C^{n-1}$ . Since  $n \geq 2$ , by Theorem 3.6 and by the definition of the norm in the space  $S_T^n$ , we can conclude that  $[G'_k - G'_k(0)] \in SS_T^{n-1}$ ,  $[G' - G'(0)] \in S_T^{n-1}$  and

$$\{[G'_k - G'_k(0)]\} \rightarrow [G' - G'(0)]$$

in  $S_T^{n-1}$ ; then, by the inductive hypothesis,  $[G' - G'(0)] \in SS_T^{n-1}$ , i.e.  $G' \in C^{n-1}$  and so  $G \in C^n$ , i.e.  $[G] \in SS_T^n$ . ■

By virtue of the isometry  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , the subspace  $\mathcal{LSS}^{0,n}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{SS}^{0,n}$ .

For  $L \in \mathcal{LSS}^{0,n}$  with  $n \geq 1$ , the representation given by Theorem 3.3 takes the particular form

$$Lu(t) = \int_0^T \Gamma_L(x) u(x+t) dx \quad \forall u \in C_T^0,$$

where  $\Gamma_L$  is uniquely determined in  $C^{n-1}([0, T], \mathbf{C})$ .

Moreover  $\Gamma_L^{(n-1)} - \Gamma_L^{(n-1)}(0) \in BV_0 \cap C^0$  and, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma_L^{(k)}(0) = \Gamma_L^{(k)}(T)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2$ . This is a trivial consequence of Theorems 3.5-3.6 and of the smoothness of  $L$ .

The function  $\Gamma_L$  will be called *associated kernel* to the smooth operator  $L$ .

Conversely it is easily seen that every function  $\Gamma$  which fulfils these properties defines an operator  $L \in \mathcal{LSS}^{0,n}$ .

**THEOREM 4.2** - Let  $\{L_k\}$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) which converges to  $L$  in  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$ ; let  $\Gamma_k$  and  $\Gamma$  be respectively the associated kernels to  $L_k$  and  $L$ . Then  $\{\Gamma_k\}$  converges uniformly to  $\Gamma$  with all the derivatives up to the  $(n-1)$ -th.

*Proof.* Let  $[G_k] = \mathcal{G}_n(L_k)$  and  $[G] = \mathcal{G}_n(L)$ . We can suppose  $G_k, G \in C^n$  and hence we have  $\Gamma_k^{(m)} = G_k^{(m+1)}$  and  $\Gamma^{(m)} = G^{(m+1)}$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Since  $\{[G_k]\} \rightarrow [G]$  in  $SS_T^n$ , we have that  $V(\Gamma_k^{(m)} - \Gamma^{(m)}) \rightarrow 0$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$  and that

$$V(\Gamma_k^{(n-1)} + \rho_k [1 - \Theta] - \Gamma^{(n-1)} - \rho [1 - \Theta]) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\rho_k := \Gamma_k^{(n-1)}(T) - \Gamma_k^{(n-1)}(0)$  and  $\rho := \Gamma^{(n-1)}(T) - \Gamma^{(n-1)}(0)$  (see the proof of Theorem 4.1, case  $n = 1$ ). It follows that  $\Gamma_k^{(m)} - \Gamma_k^{(m)}(0) \rightarrow \Gamma^{(m)} - \Gamma^{(m)}(0)$  uniformly for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$  and that  $\Gamma_k^{(n-1)} - \Gamma_k^{(n-1)}(0) - \rho_k \Theta \rightarrow \Gamma^{(n-1)} - \Gamma^{(n-1)}(0) - \rho \Theta$  uniformly.

Since  $\Theta \notin C^0$ , while  $\Gamma^{(n-1)}$  and  $\Gamma_k^{(n-1)}$  are continuous, we have that  $\Gamma_k^{(n-1)} - \Gamma_k^{(n-1)}(0) \rightarrow \Gamma^{(n-1)} - \Gamma^{(n-1)}(0)$  uniformly, too. We can suppose that  $G_k \rightarrow G$  uniformly and then, since also  $\Gamma_k - \Gamma_k(0) \rightarrow \Gamma - \Gamma(0)$  uniformly, it follows that

$$\int_0^x [\Gamma_k(\xi) - \Gamma_k(0)] d\xi \rightarrow \int_0^x [\Gamma(\xi) - \Gamma(0)] d\xi$$

uniformly, i.e.  $G_k(x) - \Gamma_k(0)x \rightarrow G(x) - \Gamma(0)x$  uniformly, and hence  $\Gamma_k(0) \rightarrow \Gamma(0)$  and  $\Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma$  uniformly.

In this way, by  $n-1$  passages, we can show that  $\Gamma_k^{(m)}(0) \rightarrow \Gamma^{(m)}(0)$  and that  $\Gamma_k^{(m)} \rightarrow \Gamma^{(m)}$  uniformly for  $m = 1, \dots, n-1$ , too. ■

## 5. Linear difference-differential equations with constant coefficients.

In this last section we apply the foregoing theory to linear difference-differential equations with constant coefficients such as

$$(DDE) \quad u^{(n)}(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{kj} u^{(k)}(t + \tau_{kj}) = f(t)$$

where  $n \geq 1$ ,  $f \in C_T^0$ ,  $a_{kj} \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\tau_{kj} \in \mathbf{R}$ . We look for a solution in the space  $C_T^n$ .

The equation DDE is of the form  $Nu = f$ , where  $N \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n,0}$ . If  $D^k$  denotes the  $k$ -th derivative operator, we have that

$$N = D^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{kj} D^k \circ S_{\tau_{kj}}.$$

LEMMA 5.1 - The operator  $D^n - \omega I$  (which belongs to  $\mathcal{LS}^{n,0}$ ) is invertible for every  $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $\omega \neq \left(\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right)^n$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and the inverse operator  $J_{n,\omega}$  is smooth.

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \neq \left(\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right)^n$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . By Theorem 2.8 it follows that  $\text{kern}(D^n - \omega I) = \{0\}$ .

Consider the following equation with boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} y^{(n)}(x) - (-1)^n \omega y(x) = 0 \\ y^{(k)}(0) = y^{(k)}(T) \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2 \\ y^{(n-1)}(T) - y^{(n-1)}(0) = (-1)^{n-1} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that this equation has a unique solution  $\Gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C})$ . Since  $\Gamma$ , restricted to  $[0, T]$ , fulfils the properties of the associated kernels, it defines an operator, say  $J_{n,\omega}$ , which belongs to  $\mathcal{SS}^{0,n}$ .

Let  $f \in C_T^0$ ; then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D^n - \omega I) \circ J_{n,\omega} f(t) &= \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^T \Gamma(x) f(x+t) dx - \omega \int_0^T \Gamma(x) f(x+t) dx = \\ &= -\omega \int_0^T \Gamma(x) f(x+t) dx + (-1)^{n-1} [\Gamma^{(n-1)}(T) - \\ &\quad - \Gamma^{(n-1)}(0)] f(t) + (-1)^n \int_0^T \Gamma^{(n)}(x) f(x+t) dx = \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} [\Gamma^{(n-1)}(T) - \Gamma^{(n-1)}(0)] f(t) - \\ &\quad - (-1)^n \int_0^T [\Gamma(x) - (-1)^n \omega \Gamma^{(n)}(x)] f(x+t) dx = f(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(D^n - \omega I) \circ J_{n,\omega} = I$  (the identity operator) and therefore we can conclude that  $D^n - \omega I$  is invertible and that its inverse  $J_{n,\omega}$  is smooth. ■

LEMMA 5.2 - Let  $L \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,m}$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{LS}^{n,m+1}$  and let there exist the inverse  $L^{-1} \in \mathcal{LS}^{m,n}$ . Then the following properties hold:

- (i) -  $\text{kern}(L+M)$  is a finite dimension subspace of  $C_T^{n,m}$ ;

- (ii) -  $R(L)$  is closed in  $C_T^m$ ;
- (iii) -  $L+M$  is invertible if and only if  $\text{kern}(L+M) = \{0\}$ ;
- (iv) - If  $m = 0$  and  $L^{-1}$  is smooth, then also  $(L+M)^{-1}$  is smooth, if it exists.

*Proof.* The properties (i), (ii), (iii) easily follow from the equality  $L+M = L \circ (I+L^{-1} \circ M)$  and the complete continuity of  $M$  as operator from  $C_T^n$  into  $C_T^m$ .

In order to prove (iv), assume  $m = 0$ ,  $L^{-1}$  to be smooth and  $L+M$  to be invertible. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (L+M)^{-1} &= (I+L^{-1} \circ M)^{-1} \circ L^{-1} = \\ &= (I+L^{-1} \circ M - L^{-1} \circ M) \circ (I+L^{-1} \circ M)^{-1} \circ L^{-1} = \\ &= L^{-1} - L^{-1} \circ M \circ (I+L^{-1} \circ M)^{-1} \circ L^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$L^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$  and  $L^{-1} \circ M \circ (I+L^{-1} \circ M)^{-1} \circ L^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n+1} \subset \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$ , we have that  $(L+M)^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{0,n}$ . ■

If we put  $L := D^n - \omega I$  and  $M := \omega I + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{kj} D^k \circ S_{\tau_{kj}}$ ,  $\omega \neq (\frac{2k\pi i}{T})^n$ , equation DDE takes the form  $(L+M)u = f$ ; the hypotheses of Lemma 5.2 are fulfilled for  $m = 0$  and therefore properties (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) hold.

In particular, by (i) and (ii), we have that  $\dim \text{kern } N = d < \infty$  and that  $R(N)$  is closed in  $C_T^0$ . Moreover, by (iv) and Lemma 5.1, the operator  $N^{-1} = (L+M)^{-1}$  is smooth, if it exists. In this case the solution of DDE has the following form:

$$u(t) = \int_0^T \Gamma(x) f(x+t) dx$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the associated kernel to  $N^{-1}$ , which will be called *resolvent kernel* of DDE.

Now consider the following complex function of complex variable:

$$\varphi(z) := z^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} a_{kj} z^k \exp(\tau_{kj} z)$$

which is called, according to L.E.El'sgol'ts-S. B. Norkin [3], the *characteristic quasipolynomial* of DDE.

Observe that the eigenvalues of  $N$  are  $\lambda_k^N := \varphi(\frac{2k\pi i}{T})$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , and

then the set  $B := \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{2k\pi it}{T}\right) \mid \varphi\left(\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right) = 0 \right\}$  of complex exponential functions is a basis of *kern*  $N$ .

By Corollary 2.12 and Lemma 5.2, equation DDE has a solution  $u \in C_T^n$  if and only if the function  $f$  is  $L^2_T$ -orthogonal to  $B$ .

Finally, by Lemma 5.2-(iii), we have that  $N$  is invertible if and only if  $\varphi\left(\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right) \neq 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

If  $N$  is invertible, by Corollary 2.3, we have that  $\lambda_k^{N-1} = [\varphi\left(\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right)]^{-1}$  and then, by Corollary 3.4,

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Gamma(x) \exp\left(-\frac{2k\pi ix}{T}\right) dx = \frac{1}{T} \lambda_{-k}^{N-1} = [T\varphi\left(-\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right)]^{-1}.$$

So the Fourier expansion of the resolvent kernel  $\Gamma$  of DDE is

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} [T\varphi\left(-\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right)]^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{2k\pi ix}{T}\right).$$

Since  $\Gamma^{(n-1)}$  is continuous and of bounded variation in  $[0, T]$ , the Fourier expansion converges uniformly to  $\Gamma$  with all its derivatives up to the  $(n-1)$ -th in every closed subinterval  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [0, T]$ . Since for  $n \geq 2$  we have  $\Gamma^{(k)}(0) = \Gamma^{(k)}(T)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ , the first  $n-2$  derivatives of the expansion converge uniformly in  $[0, T]$ .

In any case the solution  $u$  of equation DDE has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \int_0^T \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} [T\varphi\left(-\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right)]^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{2k\pi ix}{T}\right) \right) f(x+t) dx = \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} [T\varphi\left(-\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right)]^{-1} \left( \int_t^{t+T} \exp\left(\frac{2k\pi ix}{T}\right) f(x) dx \right) \exp\left(-\frac{2k\pi it}{T}\right) = \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} [T\varphi\left(\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right)]^{-1} \left( \int_0^T \exp\left(-\frac{2k\pi ix}{T}\right) f(x) dx \right) \exp\left(\frac{2k\pi it}{T}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is nothing but the Fourier expansion of  $u$ .

By truncating the expansion to  $2m+1$  terms, we have the approximation

$$u_m(t) = \int_0^T \Gamma_m(x) f(x+t) dx,$$

where  $\Gamma_m(x) := \sum_{k=-m}^m [T\varphi\left(-\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right)]^{-1} \exp\left(\frac{2k\pi ix}{T}\right)$ , which converges



uniformly to  $u$  as  $m$  tends to  $\infty$ .

In order to give a bound to the error  $\|u - u_m\|_\infty$ , note that

$$\int_0^T \Gamma(x) p_m(x+t) dx = \int_0^T \Gamma_m(x) p_m(x+t) dx$$

for every trigonometric polynomial  $p_m = \sum_{k=-m}^m a_k e_k$ , and hence

$$u(t) - u_m(t) = \int_0^T [\Gamma(x) - \Gamma_m(x)] [f(x+t) - p_m(x+t)] dx.$$

We can choose  $p_m$  equal to  $p_m^*$ , the best  $L_T^2$ -approximation to  $f$  by trigonometric polynomials of degree  $\leq m$ , and denote by  $e_m(f)$  the error  $\|f - p_m^*\|_{L_T^2}$ .

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_m\|_\infty &\leq \|\Gamma - \Gamma_m\|_{L_T^2} e_m(f) \leq \|\Gamma - \Gamma_m\|_{L_T^2} \|f - q_m^*\|_{L_T^2} \leq \\ &\leq \sqrt{TE_m(f)} \|\Gamma - \Gamma_m\|_{L_T^2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_m^*$  is the best uniform approximation to  $f$  by trigonometric polynomials of degree  $\leq m$ , and  $E_m(f)$  is the error  $\|f - q_m^*\|_\infty$ .

We want to estimate  $\|\Gamma - \Gamma_m\|_{L_T^2}$ .

To this aim we consider the function  $\Phi(y) := \frac{|\varphi(iy)|^2}{y^{2n}}$  which is defined and continuous in  $\mathbf{R} - \{0\}$ . We have immediately that  $\lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} \Phi(y) = 1$ ; therefore, since  $\varphi(\frac{2k\pi i}{T}) \neq 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , there exists  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $|\varphi(\frac{2k\pi i}{T})|^2 > \sigma (\frac{2k\pi}{T})^{2n}$  for every  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma - \Gamma_m\|_{L_T^2}^2 &= \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-m-1} \frac{1}{T} \left| \varphi\left(-\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right) \right|^{-2} + \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{T} \left| \varphi\left(-\frac{2k\pi i}{T}\right) \right|^{-2} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{2T^n}{(2\pi)^n \sqrt{\sigma T}} \left( \sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} k^{-2n} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k^{-2n} \leq \xi^{-2n}$  for every  $\xi \in [k-1, k]$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=m+1}^{+\infty} k^{-2n} \leq \int_m^{+\infty} \xi^{-2n} d\xi = [(2n-1)m^{2n-1}]^{-1}.$$

We can conclude that there exists a constant  $c > 0$ ,

$$c := \frac{2T^n}{(2\pi)^n \sqrt{(2n-1)\sigma}},$$

depending on the operator  $N$  such that

$$\|u - u_m\|_\infty \leq c E_m(f) m^{-(n-1/2)}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. BELLEN, *Cohen's iteration process for boundary value problems for functional differential equations*, Rend. Ist. Matem. Univ. Trieste XI, 32-46 (1979).
- [2] A. BELLEN, M. ZENNARO, *Sulla ricerca di soluzioni periodiche di equazioni e disequazioni differenziali ordinarie e con ritardo*, B.U.M.I. (6) 2-B, 803-817 (1983).
- [3] L. E. EL'SGOL'TS, S. B. NORKIN, *Introduction to the theory and application of differential equations with deviating arguments*, New York, Academic Press, 1973.
- [4] J. HALE, *Theory of functional differential equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [5] C. S. HÖNIG, *The Green function of a linear differential equation with a lateral condition*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79, 587-593 (1973).
- [6] S. INVERNIZZI, F. ZANOLIN, *On the existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions of differential delay equations*, Math. Z. 163, 25-37 (1978).
- [7] M. ZENNARO, *Maximum principles for linear difference-differential operators in periodic function spaces*, Numer. Math. 43, 121-139 (1984).