

## ON QUOTIENTS OF HOPF FIBRATIONS (\*)

by BONAVENTURE LOO (in Singapore)  
and ALBERTO VERJOVSKY (in Villeneuve D'Ascq)(\*\*)

SOMMARIO. - *In questo articolo dimostriamo l'impossibilità di ottenere una sottofibrazione in cerchi del fibrato di Hopf su  $S^8$ .*

SUMMARY. - *In this paper we prove the impossibility of obtaining a circle subfibration of the Hopf fibration over  $S^8$ .*

Consider the following Hopf fibrations:

- (i)  $S^1 \hookrightarrow S^{15} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7$ ,
- (ii)  $S^3 \hookrightarrow S^{15} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3$ ,
- (iii)  $S^7 \hookrightarrow S^{15} \rightarrow S^8$ ,

where  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  are the complex and quaternion division algebras respectively, and  $\mathbb{P}^r$  denotes projective  $r$ -space.

The twistor fibration of  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3$  is obtained via a quotient of 2 Hopf maps (items (i) and (ii) above):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}^8 - \{0\} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{H}^4 - \{0\} \\
 \text{Hopf}_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hopf}_{\mathbb{H}} \\
 \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 & \xrightarrow{\quad \pi \quad} \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3 .
 \end{array}$$

---

(\*) Pervenuto in Redazione il 17 giugno 1994.

(\*\*) Indirizzi degli Autori: B. Loo: Mathematics Department, National University of Singapore, Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 0511, (Singapore), E-mail address: bloo@math.nus.sg; A. Verjovsky: UFR de Mathématiques, Université de Sciences et Technologies de Lille, Villeneuve D'Ascq (Francia), E-mail address: alberto@gat.univ-lille1.fr

One could ask whether other quotients exist, for example,

- (a) the quotient of (iii) by  $S^1$  or
- (b) the quotient of (iii) by  $S^3$ .

However, the lack of associativity of the octonions precludes the possibility of such quotients. Nevertheless, one could ask whether there exist fibrations of the form  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \rightarrow S^8$  or  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow S^8$ .

Schultz proved that the homotopy analog of b) is not possible.

**THEOREM (SCHULTZ [Sc]).** *There does not exist a Hurewicz fibration  $F \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow B$  fibre homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow S^8$ .*

It was shown in [LV] that there are no PL-bundles of the form  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_h^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_h^7 \rightarrow S^8$  where  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_h^k$  denotes a PL-manifold homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k$ . It was stated at the end of [LV] that the homotopy analog of a) does not exist. In [U], Ucci showed that there exists no Hurewicz fibration of the form  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \rightarrow S^8$ . However, as stated, this was not the strongest possible result. Let  $\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $\mathfrak{H}\text{CaP}^2$  and  $S_h^n$  denote spaces homotopy equivalent to complex projective  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ , the Cayley plane  $\text{CaP}^2$  and  $S^n$  respectively. In this paper we adapt the proof of Jack Ucci [U] to show:

**THEOREM.** *There does not exist a Hurewicz fibration fibre homotopy equivalent to*

$$\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \rightarrow S_h^8.$$

An immediate corollary of this is the following:

**COROLLARY.** *The Hopf fibration  $\pi : S^{15} \rightarrow S^8$  admits no  $S^1$ -subfibration arising from a free continuous  $S^1$ -action.*

This corollary generalizes the corresponding corollary of [LV] which considered free *PL*  $S^1$ -actions.

*Proof of Corollary.* The orbit space of a free continuous  $S^1$ -action on  $S^{2n+1}$  is a homotopy complex projective space  $\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ . Thus, if such an  $S^1$ -subfibration were to exist, taking a quotient by the  $S^1$ -action would give us a Hurewicz fibration of the form  $\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \rightarrow S^8$ , contradicting the Theorem.  $\diamond$

*Proof of Theorem.* Recall that  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7$  is 14-classifying for  $S^1$ -bundles. Let  $\xi$  denote the bundle  $S^1 \hookrightarrow S^{15} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7$ . Let  $\chi : \mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7$  be a homotopy equivalence. Then  $\chi^*\xi$  is an  $S^1$ -bundle with total space a homotopy 15-sphere,  $S_h^{15}$ . We thus have an  $S^1$ -action on  $S_h^{15}$  with orbit space  $\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7$ :

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow S_h^{15} \xrightarrow{g} \mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7.$$

Now suppose that there exists a Hurewicz fibration  $\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \rightarrow S_h^8$ . We then have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & S^1 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & S_h^{15} & & \\ & & \downarrow \pi & & \\ \mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 & \xrightarrow{g} & S_h^8. \end{array}$$

Let  $h : S_h^{15} \rightarrow S_h^8$  be defined by the composition  $h := g \circ \pi$ . This gives us the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \cup_{\pi} e^{16} \simeq \mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^8 \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow G := g \cup_{\pi} \text{id} \\ S_h^8 & \longrightarrow & S_h^8 \cup_h e^{16} \simeq \mathfrak{h}\text{CaP}^2. \end{array}$$

Let  $u \in H^8(\mathfrak{h}\text{CaP}^2; \mathbb{Z})$  denote a generator of the cohomology ring  $H^*(\mathfrak{h}\text{CaP}^2; \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $v := u^2 \in H^{16}(\mathfrak{h}\text{CaP}^2; \mathbb{Z})$ . Observe that  $G^*v = x^8$  where  $x \in H^2(\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^8; \mathbb{Z})$  is a generator of  $H^*(\mathfrak{h}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^8; \mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $p$  be an odd prime and consider the Steenrod cohomology operation

$$P^i : H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H^{q+2i(p-1)}(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p) \quad i \geq 0, q \geq 0.$$

In particular, we have  $P^1 : H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_3) \rightarrow H^{q+4}(Y; \mathbb{Z}_3)$ . We will let  $[y]$  denote the reduction mod 3 of  $y$  for any  $y \in H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ . Thus for  $x \in H^2(\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^8; \mathbb{Z})$  as above, we obtain  $P^1[x] = [x^3]$ . Since the cohomology ring of the Cayley plane is generated by an element of dimension 8,  $P^1$  acts trivially on  $H^*(\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}_3)$ . From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^8(\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}_3) & \xrightarrow{P^1} & H^{12}(\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}_3) \\ \downarrow G^* & & \downarrow G^* \\ H^8(\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^8; \mathbb{Z}_3) & \xrightarrow{P^1} & H^{12}(\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^8; \mathbb{Z}_3) \end{array}$$

we see that  $P^1 G^*[u] = G^* P^1[u] = 0$ . Now,  $G^*[u] = [\lambda x^4]$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$  since  $x$  is a generator of the cohomology ring of  $\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^8$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= P^1 G^*[u] = P^1([\lambda x^4]) = [\lambda P^1[x^4]] \\ &= [\lambda P^1([x^2] \cdot [x^2])] = [\lambda(2x^2)P^1[x^2]] \quad \text{by the Cartan formula} \\ &= [\lambda(2x^2)P^1([x] \cdot [x])] = [\lambda(2x^2)(2xP^1[x])] \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{by the Cartan formula} \\ &= [4\lambda x^3 \cdot x^3] \quad \text{by item (ii) above} \\ &= [\lambda x^6] = [\lambda][x^6], \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\lambda = 3k$  for some integer  $k$ . In other words,  $G^*u = 3kx^4$ . We obtain

$$0 \neq x^8 = G^*v = G^*u^2 = (G^*u)^2 = 9k^2x^8,$$

a contradiction. This proves the theorem.  $\diamond$

*Remark.* Since the Calabi-Hopf-Penrose fibration  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \xrightarrow{g} S^4$  does exist, we shall indicate why the preceding argument cannot be extended to this case. We can mimic the previous argument. Let

$\pi : S^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$  denote the Hopf fibration, and let  $h := g \circ \pi$ . We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \cup_{\pi} e^8 \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^4 \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow G := g \cup_{\pi} \text{id} \\ S^4 & \longrightarrow & S^4 \cup_h e^8 \simeq \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2. \end{array}$$

Let  $u \in H^4(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z})$  be a generator of the cohomology ring of  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2$ , and let  $v = u^2$ . Then as before, we have  $G^*v = x^4$  where  $x$  is a generator of the cohomology ring of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^4$ . Using  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  coefficients, observe that  $P^1 : H^q(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{q+2}(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and letting  $[y]$  denote the mod 2 reduction of a cocycle  $y$ , we have  $P^1[x] = x^2$ . Again, we see that  $P^1$  acts trivially on  $H^*(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^4(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{P^1} & H^6(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) \\ \downarrow G^* & & \downarrow G^* \\ H^4(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^4; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{P^1} & H^6(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^4; \mathbb{Z}_2) \end{array}$$

we have  $P^1 G^*[u] = G^* P^1[u] = 0$ . Since  $G^*[u] = \lambda x^2$  and  $P^1[x^2] = [2x^3] \equiv 0$ , we get no information and hence cannot obtain a contradiction as before.

A corollary of the Theorem gives us a weak version of Schultz's theorem:

**COROLLARY.** *There does not exist a Hurewicz fibration of the form  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow S^8$  where  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3$  denotes a standard quaternion projective 3-space.*

*Proof.* First, recall that we have the quaternionic twistor fibration of the quaternion-Kähler manifold  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3$ :

$$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3.$$

Suppose there exists a Hurewicz fibration  $f : \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow S^8$ . Then, by composition, we obtain a Hurewicz fibration

$$f \circ \pi : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow S^8,$$

contradicting the theorem.  $\diamond$

Note that this argument made use of the quaternionic twistor fibration of  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3$  in order to obtain the map  $f$  from  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7$  to  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3$ . It is not clear that given a generic homotopy quaternion projective 3-space  $\mathfrak{H}\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3$ , there exists a Hurewicz fibration  $g : \mathfrak{H}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}\mathbb{H}\mathbb{P}^3$ . If such a map does exist, then the above proof could be used to prove Schultz's Theorem.

*Remarks.*

Recall from [LV] that a complex 4-plane bundle over  $S^8$  with structure group  $U(4)$  has Euler class which is a multiple of six times the generator of  $H^8(S^8; \mathbb{Z})$ . This fact followed from Bott periodicity. A question to ponder over is "what is the relation between the number 3 (from the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  coefficients in the proof of the main theorem) and the number 6".

#### REFERENCES

- [LV] LOO B. and VERJOVSKY A., *The Hopf fibration over  $S^8$  admits no  $S^1$ -subfibration*, *Topology* **31** (1992), 239-254.
- [Sc] SCHULTZ R., *Compact fiberings of homogeneous spaces. I*, *Compositio Math.* **43** (1981), 181-215.
- [U] UCCI J., *On the nonexistence of Riemannian submersions from  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^7$  and  $\mathbb{Q}\mathbb{P}^3$* , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1983), 698-700.