

# MORE ABOUT TWO PARAMETER SOR METHOD (\*)

by SAADAT MOUSSAVI (in Oshkosh) (\*\*)

**SOMMARIO.** - Dato un sistema lineare  $Ax = b$ , uno spezzamento  $A = A_0 - A_1$  porta alla successione iterativa  $x_k = Bx_{k-1} + C$  con  $B = A_0^{-1}A_1$  e  $C = A_0^{-1}b$ . Il vettore dell'errore è  $e_k = x_k - x_{\text{soluzione}}$  e fornisce  $e_k = Be_{k-1} = \dots = B^k e_0$ . Perciò  $\|e_k\| = \|B^k e_0\| < \|B^k\| \cdot \|e_0\| \approx C_{k,p} \rho(B)^{k-p} \cdot \|e_0\|$ . Dunque la convergenza a breve termine (rispettivamente a lungo termine) può essere migliorata minimizzando le norme di  $B$  (rispettivamente il raggio spettrale di  $B$ ). In questo lavoro si considerano sia il raggio spettrale che le norme di differenti matrici iterative in competizione fra loro.

**SUMMARY.** - Given linear system  $Ax = b$ , a splitting  $A = A_0 - A_1$  leads to the iterative sequence  $x_k = Bx_{k-1} + C$  with  $B = A_0^{-1}A_1$  and  $C = A_0^{-1}b$ . The error vector is  $e_k = x_k - x_{\text{solution}}$  which yields  $e_k = Be_{k-1} = \dots = B^k e_0$ . Hence  $\|e_k\| = \|B^k e_0\| < \|B^k\| \cdot \|e_0\| \approx C_{k,p} \rho(B)^{k-p} \cdot \|e_0\|$ . Therefore the short-term (long-term) convergence may be improved by minimizing norms of  $B$  (spectral radius of  $B$ ). In this paper we consider both the spectral radius and the norms of competing iteration matrices.

## 1. Preliminaries.

The well known "SOR" method is obtained from a one part splitting of the system matrix  $A$ , using one parameter  $\omega$ .

M. Sisler introduced a new method by using one parameter for the lower triangular matrix  $L$ . Later he combined the above two methods to get a two parametric method [8],[9] and [10].

D. Young considered yet another two parametric method (MSOR).

---

(\*) Pervenuto in Redazione il 6 aprile 1991.

(\*\*) Indirizzo dell'Autore: Mathematics Department - University of Wisconsin Oshkosh - Oshkosh, WI 54901 (U.S.A.).

The two parameters weight the diagonal of a positive-definite and consistently ordered 2-cyclic matrix [7]. For the first time G. Golub and J. dePillis used Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) to improve MSOR for the case that the coefficient matrix  $A$  is symmetric [12]. We generalized their results and also consider a special non-symmetric case.

## 2. Introduction.

To find the solution vector  $x$  to the linear system  $Ax = b$ , where  $A$  is a sparse  $n \times n$  matrix and  $b$  is a given  $n$ -vector of complex  $n$ -space, usually  $A$  is not easy to invert. Therefore, one seeks an easy-to-invert part of  $A$ , say  $A_0$ . Hence

$$A = A_0 - A_1 \quad (2.1.1)$$

or equivalently,

$$A = A_0(I - A_0^{-1}A_1) = A_0(I - B) \quad (2.1.2)$$

where  $B = A_0^{-1}A_1$  is called the *iteration matrix*.

Relation (2.1.1) is called an *additive splitting* which defines the  $\{x_k\}$  for an arbitrary fixed  $x_0$  via,

$$A_0 x_{k+1} - A_1 x_k = b \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

or equivalently

$$x_{k+1} = A_0^{-1}A_1 x_k + A_0^{-1}b \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$x_{k+1} = Bx_k + A_0^{-1}b \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Looking at relation (2.1.1), it is clear that if  $\{x_k\}$  converges at all, it must converge to  $x_{sol} = A^{-1}b$  (vector solution), where  $Ax_{sol} = b$ .

Relation (2.1.2) shows that  $\{x_k\}$  converges to  $x_{sol} = A^{-1}b$  for each  $x_0$  if and only if  $\rho(B) < 1$ , where  $\rho(B)$  is the spectral radius of  $B$  [1]. Use relation (2.1.2) to measure the asymptotic convergence  $R_\infty$  of the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  where  $R_\infty$  is defined by  $R_\infty = -\log \rho(B)$  which carries information on how fast the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  converges.

In fact  $\frac{1}{R_\infty}$  represents, asymptotically the number of iterations that suffice to produce one additional decimal place of accuracy in  $x_k$ 's.

The above splitting is called *stationary* since there is no altering of parameter from iteration to iteration. It is called *one part splitting* since each  $x_{k+1}$  depends only on one previous vector  $x_k$ .

Examples of one-part stationary splitting are represented in the following important iteration methods.

*JACOBI*: Choose

$$A_0 = D, \quad A_1 = L + U$$

then

$$B_{jacob_i} = B_j = D^{-1}(L + U)$$

where  $D$  is the diagonal part of  $A$  and  $-L, -U$  are strictly lower and upper triangular parts of  $A$  respectively.

*S.O.R.*: Choose

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{\omega}D - L, \quad A_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\omega} - 1\right)D + U$$

then

$$B = B_\omega = (D - \omega L)^{-1}((1 - \omega)D + \omega U). \quad (2.1.3)$$

*Successive Overrelaxation (SOR)* method was developed independently by Frankel [2] and Young [3], [4] in 1950.

*Modified successive overrelaxation (MSOR)* method first considered by Devogelaere [5] in 1958. Here is how it works. Consider the matrix  $A$  in the following form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & M \\ N & D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are square non-singular matrices. Use  $\omega$  for the "red" equations corresponding to  $D_1$  and  $\omega'$  for the "black" equations corresponding to  $D_2$  then

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} D_1 & 0 \\ N & \frac{1}{\omega'} D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$A_1 = A_0 - A = \begin{bmatrix} (\frac{1}{\omega} - 1) D_1 & -M \\ 0 & (\frac{1}{\omega'} - 1) D_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, iteration matrix  $B_{(\omega, \omega')}$  is defined by

$$B_{(\omega, \omega')} = A_0^{-1} A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) I_1 & \omega F \\ \omega'(1 - \omega) G & \omega \omega' GF + (1 - \omega') I_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1.4)$$

where  $F = -D_1^{-1} M$  and  $G = -D_2^{-1} N$ .

Young [6] has proved that if  $A$  is positive definite then

$$\rho(B)_{\omega_b} < \bar{\rho}(B_{(\omega, \omega')})$$

where  $\bar{\rho}(B_{(\omega, \omega')})$  is virtual spectral radius of  $B_{(\omega, \omega')}$ .

Golub & dePillis [12] considered the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & M \\ M^t & I_q \end{bmatrix}$ , they used the singular value decomposition of the corner matrix  $M$

$$M = U \Sigma V^t \quad (2.1.5)$$

where  $p \times p$  matrix  $U$  and  $q \times q$  matrix  $V$  are orthogonal and  $\Sigma$  is the  $p \times q$  "diagonal matrix" defined by

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \ddots & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \Sigma_p & 0 & \cdot & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1.6)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{p \times p} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{p \times (q-p)}$

where

$$\Sigma_1 \geq \Sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \Sigma_p \geq 0 .$$

From (2.1.5), it is clear that  $\Sigma_i^2$  the eigenvalues of Matrix  $M M^t$  (and of  $M^t M$ ) are the squares of the singular values of  $M$ . The number of non-zero singular values  $\Sigma_i$  of  $M$  equals the rank of matrix  $M$ .

They showed that the eigenvalues and 2-norms of matrices  $B_{(\omega, \omega')}$  and  $\Delta(\omega, \omega')$  are related as follows:

$$a) \sigma(B_{(\omega, \omega')}) = \sigma(\Delta(\omega, \omega')) \quad (2.1.7)$$

$$b) \rho(B_{(\omega, \omega')}) = \rho(\Delta(\omega, \omega')) = \max_i \|\rho(\Delta_i(\omega, \omega'))\| \quad (2.1.8)$$

$$c) \|B_{(\omega, \omega')}^k\|_2 = \|\Delta^k(\omega, \omega')\|_2 = \max_i \|\Delta_i^k(\omega, \omega')\|_2 \quad (2.1.9)$$

for all  $k$  .

where  $\Delta(\omega, \omega')$  is the following matrix

$$\Delta(\omega, \omega') = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1(\omega, \omega') & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \Delta_p(\omega, \omega') & \\ 0 & & 0 & (1 - \omega')I_{q-p} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1.10)$$

where each  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\Delta_i(\omega, \omega')$  is given by

$$\Delta_i(\omega, \omega') = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) & \omega \Sigma_i \\ \omega'(1 - \omega) \Sigma_i & (1 - \omega') + \omega \omega' \Sigma_i^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p \quad (2.1.11)$$

where  $\Sigma_i$  are the singular values of (2.1.6).

### 3. Three parameter SOR method.

LEMMA 3.1. If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  is a square matrix with square diagonal submatrices  $A_{11}$  and  $A_{22}$ , then

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \det A_{11} \cdot \det(A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}) & \text{if } A_{11}^{-1} \text{ exists,} \\ \det A_{22} \cdot \det(A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}) & \text{if } A_{22}^{-1} \text{ exists.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality let  $A_{22}$  be non-singular

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & -A_{12}A_{22}^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21} & 0 \\ A_{22}^{-1}A_{21} & I \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.1.1)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} I & -A_{12}A_{22}^{-1} \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \\ & = \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21} & 0 \\ A_{22}^{-1}A_{21} & I \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$1 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \det A_{22} \cdot \det(A_{11} - A_{21}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21})$$

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & M \\ N & D_2 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are non-singular matrices.  $\mu \in \sigma(B_j)$  if and only if  $\mu^2 \in \sigma(GF)$  where  $F = -D_1^{-1}M$  and  $G = -D_2^{-1}N$ .

*Proof.* For Jacobi iteration matrix  $B_j$  we have the following splitting.

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -M \\ -N & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

hence,

$$B_j = A_0^{-1}A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -D_1^{-1}M \\ -D_2^{-1}N & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F \\ G & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly  $\mu \in \sigma(B)$  if and only if

$$\det(B_j - \mu I) = \det \begin{bmatrix} -\mu I_1 & F \\ G & -\mu I_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0. \quad (3.2.2)$$

By Lemma 3.1 and relation (3.2.2)

$$|-\mu I_1| \cdot |-\mu I_2 - G(-\mu I_2)^{-1}F| = 0 \quad (3.2.3)$$

relation (3.2.3) holds if and only if  $\mu \in \sigma(\frac{1}{\mu}GF)$  or equivalently  $\mu^2 \in \sigma(GF)$ .

THEOREM 3.3. Suppose that  $A = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & M \\ N & D_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are non-singular matrices and the easy to invert part of matrix  $A$  is given by

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega}D_1 & 0 \\ \alpha N & \frac{1}{\omega'}D_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mu \in \sigma(B_j)$ . If  $\lambda$  satisfies

$$(\lambda + \omega - 1)(\lambda + \omega' - 1) = (\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha))\omega\omega'\mu^2 \quad (3.3.4)$$

then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$ .

Conversely, let  $\lambda \in \sigma(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)})$ , then every  $\mu$  satisfying (3.3.4) is an eigenvalue of  $B_j$ .

*Proof.*

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = A_0^{-1} A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \omega D_1^{-1} & 0 \\ -\alpha\omega\omega' D_2^{-1} N D_1^{-1} & \omega' D_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} (\frac{1}{\omega} - 1) D_1 & -M \\ (\alpha - 1) N & (\frac{1}{\omega'} - 1) D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

or equivalently

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) I_1 & \omega F \\ \omega'(1 - \alpha\omega) G & \alpha\omega\omega' G F + (1 - \omega') I_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.3.5)$$

where  $F = D_1^{-1} M$  and  $G = D_2^{-1} N$ .

$\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$  if and only if  $\det(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} - \lambda I) = 0$

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} ((1 - \omega) - \lambda) I_1 & \omega F \\ \omega'(1 - \alpha\omega) G & \alpha\omega\omega' G F + ((1 - \omega') - \lambda) I_2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot$$

By Lemma 3.1

$$\det(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} - \lambda I) = (1 - \omega - \lambda)^p \cdot \det \left[ (1 - \omega' - \lambda) I_2 - \frac{(1 + \alpha\lambda - \alpha)\omega\omega'}{(1 - \omega - \lambda)} G F \right] \quad (3.3.6)$$

where  $p$  is the size of  $I_1$ . By relation (3.3.6)  $\det(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} - \lambda I) = 0$  if and only if

$$\det \left[ (1 - \omega' - \lambda) I_2 - \frac{(1 + \alpha\lambda - \alpha)\omega\omega'}{(1 - \omega - \lambda)} G F \right] = 0 \quad (3.3.7)$$

Relation (3.3.7) holds if and only if

$$(1 - \omega' - \lambda) \in \sigma \left[ \frac{(1 + \alpha\lambda - \alpha)\omega\omega'}{(1 - \omega - \lambda)} GF \right]$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{(1 - \omega - \lambda)(1 - \omega' - \lambda)}{(1 + \alpha\lambda - \alpha)\omega\omega'} \in \sigma(GF). \quad (3.3.8)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2,  $\mu^2 \in \sigma(GF)$ , then

$$(\lambda + \omega - 1)(\lambda + \omega' - 1) = (\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha))\omega\omega'\mu^2.$$

#### REMARK

- (1) If  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\omega = \omega'$  then (3.3.4) reduces to SOR Method.
- (2) If  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\omega \neq \omega'$  then (3.3.4) reduces to MSOR Method.
- (3) If  $\omega = \omega'$  and  $\alpha = \frac{r}{\omega}$  then (3.3.4) reduces to AOR Method [13].

#### 4. Singular value decomposition and Jacobi method.

Suppose  $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -M \\ -N & I_q \end{bmatrix}$ , and let  $M = U\Sigma V^t$ ,  $N = QSR^t$  be the singular value decompositions of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. Where  $p \times p$  matrices  $U$ ,  $R$  and  $q \times q$  matrices  $V$ ,  $Q$  are orthogonal, and  $p \times q$  matrix  $\Sigma$ ,  $q \times p$  matrix  $S$  are "diagonal matrices" defined by

$$\Sigma = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 & \cdot & & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2 & 0 & & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & & \ddots & & & & & & \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \Sigma_p & 0 & \cdot & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{p \times q}$$



$$S = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} s_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 & 0 & \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & & & & s_{p-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & s_p \\ 0 & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{q \times p} \quad (4.1.0)$$

The Jacobi iteration matrix  $B_j$  for matrix  $A$  is

$$B_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M \\ N & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.1.1)$$

Substitute  $M = U\Sigma V^t$ ,  $N = QSR^t$  for  $M$  and  $N$  respectively in (4.1.1), and "factor out" the orthogonal matrices

$$\begin{aligned} B_j &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M \\ N & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & U\Sigma V^t \\ QSR^t & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ B_j &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix}}_K \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ S & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\Gamma_j} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} R^t & 0 \\ 0 & V^t \end{bmatrix}}_{L^t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $B_j = K\Gamma_j L^t$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} B_j B_j^t &= (K\Gamma_j L^t)(K\Gamma_j L^t)^t \\ B_j B_j^t &= K\Gamma_j \Gamma_j^t K^t. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.2)$$

Equivalence relation (4.1.2) implies that the eigenvalues and 2-norms of  $B_j B_j^t$  and  $\Gamma_j \Gamma_j^t$  are agreed, i.e.

$$\sigma(B_j B_j^t) = \sigma(\Gamma_j \Gamma_j^t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} s_i^2, \Sigma_k^2 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, p \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, p \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\|B_j^k\|_2 = \|\Gamma_j^k\|_2 \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Since  $\|\Gamma\|_2 = \rho[\Gamma^t\Gamma]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  [6],

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_j\|_2 = \|\Gamma_j\|_2 &= \rho\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & S \\ \Sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ S & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \rho\left(\begin{bmatrix} S^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \max\{s_1, \Sigma_1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since  $\rho(\Gamma) \leq \|\Gamma\|_2$  [6],

$$\rho(B_j) = \rho(\Gamma_j) \leq \max\{s_1, \Sigma_1\}. \quad (4.1.3)$$

On the other hand by Lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned}[\rho(B_j)]^2 &= \rho(NM) = \rho(QSR^tU\Sigma V^t) \\ &\leq \|QSR^t\|_2 \|U\Sigma V^t\|_2 = \|S\|_2 \|\Sigma\|_2 = s_1 \Sigma_1\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\rho(B_j) \leq \sqrt{s_1 \Sigma_1}. \quad (4.1.4)$$

By relations (4.1.3) and (4.1.4) one could conclude that

$$\rho(B_j) = \rho(\Gamma_j) \leq \min\{\max\{s_1, \Sigma_1\}, \sqrt{s_1 \Sigma_1}\}.$$

The above argument give us the following theorem:

**THEOREM 4.1.** Suppose  $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -M \\ -N & I_q \end{bmatrix}$ , and let  $M = U\Sigma V^t$ ,  $N = QSR^t$  be the singular value decompositions of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. Then

- (a)  $\|B_j^k\|_2 = \|\Gamma_j^k\|_2$  for all  $k$ , where  $\Gamma_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ S & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- (b)  $\|B_j\|_2 = \max\{s_1, \Sigma_1\}$
- (c)  $\rho(B_j) = \rho(\Gamma_j) \leq \min\{\max\{s_1, \Sigma_1\}, \sqrt{s_1 \Sigma_1}\}.$

### 5. SVD and three parameter SOR method.

Suppose  $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -M \\ -M^t & I_q \end{bmatrix}$ , and let  $M = U\Sigma V^t$  be the singular value decompositions of  $M$ . Where  $p \times p$  matrix  $U$  and  $q \times q$  matrix  $V$  are orthogonal, and  $p \times q$  matrix  $\Sigma$  is diagonal matrix defined by (2.1.6). If the easy to invert part of  $A$  is given by

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} I_p & 0 \\ \alpha M^t & \frac{1}{\omega'} I_q \end{bmatrix}$$

then, the iteration matrix for this method is

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = A_0^{-1} A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) I_p & \omega M \\ \omega' (1 - \alpha \omega) M^t & \alpha \omega \omega' M^t M + (1 - \omega') I_q \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.1.1)$$

Substitute  $M = U\Sigma V^t$  for  $M$  in (5.1.1)

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) I_p & \omega U \Sigma V^t \\ \omega' (1 - \alpha \omega) V \Sigma^t U^t & \alpha \omega \omega' V \Sigma^t \underbrace{U^t U}_I \Sigma V^t + (1 - \omega') I_q \end{bmatrix}$$

“Factor out”  $V$  and  $U$

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix}}_Q \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) I_p & \omega \Sigma \\ \omega' (1 - \alpha \omega) \Sigma^t & \alpha \omega \omega' \Sigma^t \Sigma + (1 - \omega') I_q \end{bmatrix}}_{\Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} U^t & 0 \\ 0 & V^t \end{bmatrix}}_{Q^t}$$

Hence,  $B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = Q \Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} Q^t$  where matrix  $Q$  is a unitary matrix.

There is a permutation matrix [12]  $P$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha) &= P \Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} P^t = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1(\omega, \omega', \alpha) & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \Delta_p(\omega, \omega', \alpha) & \\ 0 & & 0 & (1 - \omega') I_{q-p} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.1.2) \end{aligned}$$

where each  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha)$  is given by

$$\Delta_i(\omega, \omega', \alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) & \omega \Sigma_i \\ \omega'(1 - \alpha\omega) \Sigma_i & (1 - \omega') + \alpha\omega\omega' \Sigma_i^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$$

where  $\Sigma_i$  are the singular values of (2.1.6).

Since  $\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha) = P \Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} P^t$  hence,

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = Q P^t \Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha) P Q^t \quad \text{for unitary } Q P^t. \quad (5.1.3)$$

Equivalence relation (5.1.3) implies that the eigenvalues and the 2-norms of  $B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$  and  $\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha)$  are agreed. Hence, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$  it must be one of the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_i(\omega, \omega', \alpha)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in \sigma(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}) &\Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \sigma \Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \det \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - (1 - \omega) & \omega \Sigma_i \\ \omega'(1 - \alpha\omega) \Sigma_i & \lambda - (1 - \omega') + \alpha\omega\omega' \Sigma_i^2 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\lambda + \omega - 1)(\lambda + \omega' - 1 - \alpha\omega\omega' \Sigma_i^2) \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha\omega)\omega\omega' \Sigma_i^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently

$$(\lambda + \omega - 1)(\lambda + \omega' - 1) = (\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha))\omega\omega' \Sigma_i^2$$

By Lemma 3.1 and singular value decomposition properties

$$\{\mu_i^2\} = \sigma[(B_j)]^2 = \sigma(M M^t) = \{\Sigma_i^2\}$$

Therefore

$$(\lambda + \omega - 1)(\lambda + \omega' - 1) = (\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha))\omega\omega' \mu_i^2.$$

Let us summarize the above arguments in the following theorem:

**THEOREM 5.1.** Suppose  $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -M \\ -M^t & I_q \end{bmatrix}$ , and let  $M = U \Sigma V^t$  be the singular value decompositions of  $M$ . If the easy to invert part of  $A$  is given by

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} D_1 & 0 \\ \alpha M^t & \frac{1}{\omega'} D_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

then the eigenvalues  $\mu_i \in \sigma(B_j)$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \sigma(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)})$  are related by the following functional relation:

$$(\lambda + \omega - 1)(\lambda + \omega' - 1) = (\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha))\omega\omega'\mu_i^2.$$

Moreover, eigenvalues and 2-norms of matrices  $\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha)$  of (5.1.2) and  $B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$  are related as follows:

- (a)  $\sigma(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}) = \sigma(\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha))$
- (b)  $\rho(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}) = \rho(\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha)) = \max_i \|\rho(\Delta_i(\omega, \omega', \alpha))\|$
- (c)  $\|B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}^k\|_2 = \|\Delta^k(\omega, \omega', \alpha)\|_2 = \max_i \|\Delta_i^k(\omega, \omega', \alpha)\|^2$  for all  $k$ .

## 6. A special non-symmetric case.

Suppose  $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -M \\ -N & I_1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and let  $M = U\Sigma V^t$ ,  $N = QSR^t$  be the singular value decompositions of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. Let  $U^t R = D_1 = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)$  and  $Q^t V = D_2 = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q)$  be diagonal matrices.

Jacobi iteration matrix for the matrix  $A$  is given by matrix (4.1.1)

$$B_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M \\ N & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Substitute  $M = U\Sigma V^t$ ,  $N = QSR^t$  for  $M$  and  $N$  respectively in  $B_j$  and "factor out" the orthogonal matrices.

$$B_j = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix}}_L \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Sigma \\ S & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\Gamma_j} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} R^t & 0 \\ 0 & V^t \end{bmatrix}}_K$$

Hence

$$B_j = L\Gamma_j K. \quad (6.1.1)$$

Notice that

$$KL = \begin{bmatrix} R^t & 0 \\ 0 & V^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R^t U & 0 \\ 0 & V^t Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & D_2^* \end{bmatrix} = D \quad (6.1.2)$$

where  $D_1^*$  and  $D_2^*$  are the complex conjugate of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  respectively.

Multiply (6.1.1) by  $K$  and  $K^t$  from left and right respectively.

$$KB_jK^t = KL\Gamma_jKK^t.$$

By relation (6.1.2), we have

$$KB_jK^t = D\Gamma_j. \quad (6.1.3)$$

Unitary equivalence relation (6.1.3) implies that both the eigenvalues and the 2-norms agree for both matrices  $B_j$  and  $D\Gamma_j$ . Matrix  $D\Gamma_j$  has the following form

$$D\Gamma_j = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \bar{d}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{d}_2 & & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & \cdot & & & & \\ 0 & & \bar{d}_p & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \bar{c}_1 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & & 0 \\ \cdot & & \cdot & & \cdot & \bar{c}_p & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & \bar{c}_q & \end{array} \right].$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & & 0 & \Sigma_1 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 & & & \Sigma_p & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ \hline s_1 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & & & 0 \\ \cdot & & & & & \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & & s_p & \cdot & & & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & & 0 \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \} \\ \} \\ \} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} p \\ q \end{array}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_p \qquad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_q$

Or equivalently

$$D\Gamma_j = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & \Sigma_1 \bar{d}_1 & 0 & \cdot & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \Sigma_p \bar{d}_p & \cdot & 0 \\ \hline s_1 \bar{c}_1 & & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & & 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 \bar{c}_2 & & \cdot & 0 & & 0 & \cdot & & 0 \\ \cdot & & & & \cdot & & \cdot & & & 0 \\ 0 & & & s_p \bar{c}_p & & & & & \cdot & \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 & & & 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right] \quad (6.1.4)$$

There is a permutation matrix  $P$  such that

$$\Delta = PD\Gamma_j P^t = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \Delta_1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_2 & & \cdot & \\ \cdot & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & & & \Delta_p & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \quad (6.1.5)$$

where each  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\Delta_i$  is given by

$$\Delta_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \bar{d}_i \Sigma_i \\ \bar{c}_i s_i & 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Obviously by (6.1.4) and (6.1.5)  $\mu \in \sigma(D\Gamma_j)$  if and only if  $\mu \in \sigma(\Delta_i)$ , i.e.

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} -\mu & \bar{d}_i \Sigma_i \\ \bar{c}_i s_i & -\mu \end{bmatrix} = 0 .$$

Or equivalently

$$\mu^2 - \overline{c_i d_i s_i \Sigma_i} = 0 .$$

Hence,

$$\mu^2 = \overline{c_i d_i s_i \Sigma_i} \quad (6.1.6)$$

which gives us the following lemma:

LEMMA 6.1. Suppose  $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -M \\ -N & I_q \end{bmatrix}$ , and let  $M = U\Sigma V^t$ ,  $N = QSR^t$  be the singular value decompositions of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. If  $U^t R = D_1 = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)$  and  $Q^t V = D_2 = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q)$  are diagonal matrices, then  $\mu_i \in \sigma(B_j)$  if and only if  $\mu_i^2 = \overline{c_i d_i} s_i \Sigma_i$ . (Where  $\Sigma_i$  and  $s_i$  are the singular values of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively).

Moreover, eigenvalues and 2-norms of matrices  $B_j$  and  $\Delta$  of (6.1.5) are related as follows:

- (a)  $\sigma(B_j) = \sigma(\Delta)$
- (b)  $\rho(B_j) = \rho(\Delta) = \max_i \|\rho(\Delta_i)\|$
- (c)  $\|B_j^k\|_2 = \|\Delta^k\|_2 = \max_i \|\Delta_i^k\|_2$  for all  $k$ .

Under the assumption of Lemma 6.1 if the easy to invert part of  $A$  is given by

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} I_p & 0 \\ \alpha N & \frac{1}{\omega'} I_q \end{bmatrix}$$

then the iteration matrix corresponding to  $A_0$  is given by

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = A_0^{-1} A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) I_p & \omega M \\ \omega'(1 - \alpha\omega) N & \alpha\omega\omega' N M + (1 - \omega') I_q \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6.1.7)$$

Substitute  $M = U\Sigma V^t$ ,  $N = QSR^t$  for  $M$  and  $N$  respectively in matrix (6.1.7)

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) I_p & \omega U \Sigma V^t \\ \omega'(1 - \alpha\omega) Q S R^t & \alpha\omega\omega' Q S R^t U \Sigma V^t + (1 - \omega') I_q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Factor out  $U$ ,  $V$ ,  $Q$  and  $R$ .

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix}}_L \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) U^t R & \omega \Sigma \\ \omega'(1 - \alpha\omega) S & \alpha\omega\omega' S R^t U \Sigma + (1 - \omega') Q^t V \end{bmatrix}}_{\Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} R^t & 0 \\ 0 & V^t \end{bmatrix}}_K.$$

Hence

$$B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} = L \Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)} K. \quad (6.1.8)$$



Multiply (6.1.8) by  $K$  and  $K^t$  from left and right respectively.

$$KB_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}K^t = KL\Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}KK^t.$$

By relation (6.1.2),

$$KB_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}K^t = D\Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}. \quad (6.1.9)$$

Unitary equivalence relation (6.1.9) implies that both the eigenvalues and the 2-norms agree for both matrices  $B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$  and  $D\Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$ .

Now let us investigate all four submatrices of  $\Gamma_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$ .

(i)

$$(1-\omega)U^tR = (1-\omega)D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (1-\omega)d_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\omega)d_2 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \ddots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & (1-\omega)d_p \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $U^tR = D_1 = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)$

(ii)

$$\omega\Sigma = \omega \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \dots 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \dots 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \ddots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \dots 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \Sigma_p & 0 \dots 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{p \times q}$$

(iii)

$$\omega'(1-\alpha\omega)S = \omega'(1-\alpha\omega) \left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} s_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & s_{p-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & s_p \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left. \begin{array}{l} \left. \begin{array}{l} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \right\} p \times p \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \right\} (q-p) \times p \end{array} \right.$$

(iv)

$$SR^t U \Sigma = SD_1^* \Sigma = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} s_1 \bar{d}_1 \Sigma_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 \bar{d}_2 \Sigma_2 & \cdot & & & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & & & & & & & \cdot \\ 0 & & & & s_p \bar{d}_p \Sigma_p & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & & & & & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{q \times p} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}}_{q \times (q-p)}$$

$$\text{Hence, } \alpha \omega \omega' SR^t U \Sigma + (1 - \omega') Q^t V = \alpha \omega \omega' (SD_1^* \Sigma) + (1 - \omega') D_2 =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \omega \omega' s_1 \bar{d}_1 \Sigma_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ +(1 - \omega') c_1 & & & & & & & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & & & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & 0 & \cdot & & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \alpha \omega \omega' s_p \bar{d}_p \Sigma_p & 0 & \cdot & 0 \\ & & & & +(1 - \omega') c_p & & & \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & (1 - \omega') c_{p+1} & & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & (1 - \omega') c_q \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $Q^t V = D_2 = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q)$ .

Therefore, the matrix  $D\Gamma$  is given by the following

$$\begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega) |d_1|^2 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & \omega \Sigma_1 \bar{d}_1 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & (1 - \omega) |d_p|^2 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \omega \Sigma_p \bar{d}_p & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \hline \omega' (1 - \alpha \omega) s_1 \bar{c}_1 & & & 0 & \alpha \omega \omega' s_1 \bar{d}_1 c_1 \Sigma_1 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \omega' (1 - \alpha \omega) s_p \bar{c}_p & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \alpha \omega \omega' s_p \bar{d}_p c_p \Sigma_p & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ & & & & & & & +(1 - \omega') |c_p|^2 & & & & \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & (1 - \omega') |c_{p+1}|^2 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot & \cdot & & & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & (1 - \omega') |c_q|^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

There is a permutation matrix  $P$  [12] such that  $PD\Gamma P^t$  has only  $2 \times 2$  and  $1 \times 1$  submatrices

$$\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha) = PD\Gamma P^t =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1(\omega, \omega', \alpha) & 0 & & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \Delta_p(\omega, \omega', \alpha) & \\ 0 & & 0 & (1 - \omega')|c_k|^2 I_{p-q} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6.1.10)$$

for  $k = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, q$

where each  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\Delta_i(\omega, \omega', \alpha)$  is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} (1 - \omega)|d_i|^2 & \omega \varepsilon_i \bar{d}_i \\ \omega'(1 - \alpha\omega) s_i \bar{c}_i & (1 - \omega')|c_i|^2 + \alpha\omega\omega' s_i \bar{d}_i s_i \Sigma_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$

where  $\Sigma_i$  and  $s_i$  are the singular values of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively.

Hence, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$  it must be one of the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_i(\omega, \omega', \alpha)$ . Therefore

$$\lambda \in \sigma(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}) \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \sigma(\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha))$$

$$[(1 - \omega)|d_i|^2 - \lambda][\alpha\omega\omega' s_i \bar{d}_i c_i \Sigma_i + (1 - \omega')|c_i|^2 - \lambda] = \omega\omega'(1 - \alpha\omega) s_i \Sigma \bar{d}_i c_i$$

Or equivalently

$$[(1 - \omega)|d_i|^2 - \lambda][(1 - \omega')|c_i|^2 - \lambda] = \omega\omega' s_i \Sigma_i \bar{d}_i c_i$$

$$[1 - \alpha(\omega + \lambda + |d_i|^2(1 - \omega))] \quad (6.1.11)$$

This argument results the following theorem:

**THEOREM 6.2.** Suppose  $A = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & -M \\ -N & I_q \end{bmatrix}$ , and let  $M = U\Sigma V^t$ ,  $N = QSR^t$  be the singular value decompositions of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. If the easy to invert part of  $A$  is given by

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} I_p & 0 \\ \alpha N & \frac{1}{\omega'} I_q \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $U^t R = D_1 = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)$ ,  $Q^t V = D_2 = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_q)$  are diagonal matrices. Then the eigenvalues  $\mu_i \in \sigma(B_j)$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \sigma(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)})$  are related by the following functional relation:

$$(\lambda + \omega - 1)(\lambda + \omega' - 1) = (\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha))\omega\omega'\mu_i^2 .$$

Moreover, eigenvalues and 2-norms of matrices  $\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha)$  of (6.1.10) and  $B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}$  are related as follows:

- (a)  $\sigma(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}) = \sigma(\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha))$
- (b)  $\rho(B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}) = \rho(\Delta(\omega, \omega', \alpha)) = \max_i \|\rho(\Delta_i(\omega, \omega', \alpha))\|$
- (c)  $\|B_{(\omega, \omega', \alpha)}^k\|_2 = \|\Delta^k(\omega, \omega', \alpha)\|_2 = \max_i \|\Delta_i^k(\omega, \omega', \alpha)\|_2$  for all  $k$ .

*Proof.* Since  $Q^t V$  and  $U^t R$  are diagonal orthogonal matrices then, absolute value of each diagonal elements of these two matrices is identity, i.e.

$$|d_i| = 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

$$|c_j| = 1 \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, q .$$

Hence relation (6.1.11) becomes

$$[(1 - \omega) - \lambda][(1 - \omega') - \lambda] = \omega\omega' s_i \overline{\Sigma_i d_i c_i} [1 - \alpha(\omega + \lambda + (1 - \omega))] .$$

Since by Lemma 6.1  $\mu^2 = \overline{c_1 d_1} s_i \Sigma_i$ , therefore

$$(\lambda + \omega - 1)(\lambda + \omega' - 1) = (\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha))\omega\omega'\mu_i^2 .$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] PLEMMONS R. and BERMAN A., *Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical science*, Academic Press, New York, London (1979).
- [2] FRANKEL S.P., *Convergence rate of iterative treatments of partial differential equation*, Math. Tables Aids Compute 4, pp. 65-75; 94, 488, 493 (1950).
- [3] YOUNG D., *Iterative methods for solving partial differential equations of elliptic type*, Doctoral thesis, Harvard Univ., Cambridge, Massachusetts (1950).
- [4] YOUNG D., *Iterative methods for solving partial differential equations of elliptic type*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 76, pp. 92-111; 54, 94, 95, 163, 227, 234, 265, 365 (1954).
- [5] DEVOGELAERE R., *Over-relaxation, Abstract*, Amer. Math. Soc. Notices 5, pp. 147-273 (1950).
- [6] YOUNG D., *Iterative solution of large linear system of equations*, Academic Press, New York, London (1971).
- [7] VARGA R., *Matrix iterative analysis*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs (1962).
- [8] SISLER M., *Uberein iterationsverfahren fur zyklische matrizen*, Apl. Mat. 17, pp. 225-233 (1972).
- [9] SISLER M., *Uber die knvergenenz eines gewissen iterationsverfahren fur zyklische matrizen,,* Apl. Mat. 18, pp. 89-98 (1973).
- [10] SISLER M., *Uber die optimierung eines zweiparametrischen Iterationsverfahren*, Apl. Mat. 20, pp. 126-142 (1975).
- [12] GOLUB G. and DEPILLIS J., *Toward an effective two-parameter SOR method*, Academic Press, New York, London (1988).
- [13] HADJIDIMOS A., *Accelerated overrelaxation method*, Math Com. 32, pp. 149-157 (1978).